

Court No. - 6

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9449 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rasida

Respondent :- Distt. Magistrate Amethi And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Krishna Pratap Singh, Vikas Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Anurag Srivastava

Hon'ble Rajnish Kumar, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that inadvertently opposite party no.1 has been mentioned in paragraph 1 of the prayer No.1 in place of opposite party no.3 and prays for permitting him to correct the same, to which there is no objection to learned counsels appearing for the opposite parties.

In view of above, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to correct opposite party no.1 as opposite party no.3 in prayer no.1 in first line during course of the day.

Heard, Shri Vikas Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Ms. Radhika Verma, Advocate who has put in appearance for opposite parties no.2 and 3 i.e. the State Bank of India. Learned Standing Counsel is present for opposite party no.1.

An innocuous prayer has been made by learned counsel for the petitioner for disposal of the application dated 25.09.2023, contained in annexure no.4 to the writ petition. To which there is no objection by learned counsel for the opposite parties

In view of above, without entering into the merit of the case the writ petition is **disposed of** with direction to opposite party no.3/Branch Manager, State Bank of India, Tiloi Branch, District-Amethi to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioner, contained in annexure no.4 to the writ petition, within a period of four weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order and communicate the same to the petitioner.

(Rajnish Kumar, J.)

Order Date :- 30.10.2023

Banswar