

Court No. - 28

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 10455 of 2023

Applicant :- Jitendra Kumar Singh

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of Home U.P. Lko.

Counsel for Applicant :- Anand Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Shree Prakash Singh,J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.

By means of the instant application, the applicant has prayed that this Court may be pleased to direct the court below not to insist the applicant to file surety bond in each and every three cases mentioned in para 4 of the application and accept only two sureties in lieu of all the three cases.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that it has become impossible for the applicant to submit surety in each of cases in which he has been granted bail. He added that the applicant has been granted bail in three cases mentioned in para 4 of the application. He next added that despite the trial court granted the bail, the applicant is still in jail and the applicant being poor person is unable to produce the sureties in each cases. It is further submitted by the learned counsel for the applicant that in similar circumstances, namely in the case of **Hani Nishad @ Mohammad Imran @ Vikky Vs. the State of Uttar Pradesh [SLP (Crl) No.8914-8915/2018]**, the Supreme Court, vide order dated 29.10.2018 has permitted the petitioner to submit personal bonds and two sureties of heavy amount to hold good in all the cases.

Considering the aforesaid fact, the application is **disposed off** with a direction to the applicant to furnish a personal bond of Rs.1,00,000/- and two sureties of the like amount in one case, which shall be treated to be valid in all other cases mentioned in the para 4 of the application wherein the bail orders have been passed.

Order Date :- 19.10.2023

Ram Murti