

Court No. - 3

Case :- CIVIL MISC REVIEW APPLICATION DEFECTIVE
No. - 233 of 2023

Applicant :- Surendra Kumar Pandey

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Secondary
Education, Lucknow And 5 Others

Counsel for Applicant :- Harsha Yadav

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

(I.A. No.1 of 2023-Delay)

Heard learned counsel for parties.

As per Stamp Reporter, there is delay in filing the instant review application.

Since learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondent has no objection if delay in filing the instant review application is condoned and cause shown by the applicant/petitioner in filing the instant review application beyond time appears to be satisfactory, therefore, the delay condonation application is allowed and delay in filing the instant review application is condoned.

(Order on Review Application)

The instant Review Application has been moved by the applicant/petitioner seeking review of the judgment and order dated 19.08.2017, passed by this Court in Writ Petition No.6543 (S/S) of 1999; Surendra Kumar Pandey Vs. State of U.P. and Others.

The review applicant/petitioner has approached this Court by way of filing the instant review application after five years of passing of the impugned order.

Learned Counsel for the applicant/review petitioner submits that the claim of the petitioner is covered for regularization

under Section 33-C/33-G of the U.P. Secondary Education Service Selection Board Act, 1982 and in case claim of the petitioner for regularization is covered, he may raise his grievance before the appropriate authority in accordance with law.

The impugned judgment dated 19.08.2017 would not come in the way of the authority concerned in considering the claim of the petitioner for regularization, if his claim is covered under the aforesaid Act.

In view of the aforesaid, the instant review application is *consigned to record*.

.

[Vivek Chaudhary, J.]

Order Date :- 24.11.2023

-Amit K-