

Court No. - 20

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 8288 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Srivastava

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Rural Development Dept. U.P.
Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- M.K. Singh Bhardwaj

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Manish Mathur,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner and learned State Counsel for opposite parties.

2. Petition has been filed for the following relief:-

"(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus thereby commanding the opposite parties to allow to the petitioner all other admissible retirement benefits after adjusting the amounts already paid in the light of judgment and orders of Hon'ble High Court and the Supreme Court passed in Writ-A No. 50351 of 2013 (Jagmohan and another vs. State of U.P. and others), Special Appeal (D) No. 474 of 2018 (State of U.P. and others Vs. Prahlad Singh) and in S.L.P. (civil) No. 5527 of 2019 (State of U.P. and others Vs. Shreyansh Kumar Jain). (Contained as annexure Nos. 1, 2 and 3 to the writ petition)."

3. For the said relief, petitioner has submitted a representation but seeks liberty to file a fresh representation before the authority concerned.

4. Considering the innocuous prayer, without entering into merits, liberty is granted to file a fresh representation before opposite party no. 2 i.e. Commissioner, Rural Development, U.P. Lucknow who shall consider and decide the same within a period of eight weeks from the date a certified copy of this order is produced before the said authority along fresh representation in the light of judgments indicated hereinabove in case they are applicable for the petitioner. Petitioner shall also submits copies of the aforesaid judgments along with of the copy of the representation.

5. With the aforesaid observations and directions, the petition is finally **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 19.10.2023

Satish