

Court No. - 12

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 10356 of 2023

Applicant :- Bajrang Dattu Tawar

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko. And Another

Counsel for Applicant :- Avinash Tripathi, Mayank Sharma

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Rajeev Singh, J.

1. Vakalatnama filed by Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate on behalf of private respondent is taken on record.

2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant, learned counsel for private respondent as well as learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

3. The present application has been filed for quashing the entire proceedings initiated against the applicant in CrI. Case No.404 of 2021 as well as charge-sheet dated 20.08.2020 arising out of Crime No.348 of 2019 U/s 406 I.P.C., Police Station- Kotwali City, District- Faizabad/Ayodhya.

4. Learned counsel for the applicants submits that applicant has been falsely implicated in the present case. He further submits that applicant is ready to settle the dispute with informant. It is, thus, submitted that indulgence of this Court is necessary.

5. Learned A.G.A. opposes the prayer of applicants and submits that after detail investigation, charge-sheet has already been filed on the basis of evidences collected by Investigating Officer. He also submits that all these facts cannot be examined at this stage, therefore, the present application is liable to be dismissed.

6. Considering the submissions of learned counsel for the applicants, learned counsel for private respondent, learned A.G.A. and going through the contents of application as well as other relevant documents; this Court is of the view that evidences collected by Investigating Officer cannot be evaluated at this stage.

7. In view of above, the present application U/s 482 Cr.P.C. is misconceived and, accordingly, stands **dismissed**.

Order Date :- 19.10.2023

Arpan