

Court No. - 3

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 9396 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinde Shvri

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. District Magistrate , Ayodhya And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anjani Kumar Dvivedi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Vivek Chaudhary,J.

Hon'ble Manish Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State.
2. The petitioner has already filed a Civil Suit bearing no.895 of 2022 along with an application for interim injunction, which is pending before the Civil Judge (Junior Division) Haveli, District Ayodhya.
3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that more than six months have already passed but injunction application filed in the aforesaid suit has not been decided. It is informed that defendant has already appeared in the suit. He further submits that grievance of the petitioner would be sufficiently met in case the court concerned considers and decides the injunction application filed along with the suit in a time bound manner.
4. This Court on administrative side has already issued directions to the subordinate courts to decide the injunction applications as early as possible.
5. Looking into the facts and circumstances of the case, the Civil Judge (Junior Division), Haveli, District Ayodhya is directed to decide the injunction application filed along with Suit no.895 of 2022 in accordance with law, by a reasoned and speaking order, as expeditiously as possible, say within a period of one month from the date, a certified copy of this order is placed before him, after hearing all the parties concerned. If required, he may proceed on day-to-day basis. No unnecessary adjournment shall be granted including on the ground of strike of lawyers.
6. With the aforesaid direction, present writ petition is ***disposed of***.

[Manish Kumar,J.] [Vivek Chaudhary,J.]

Order Date :- 27.10.2023

Sachin