

Court No. - 18

Case :- MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 5310 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Murti Yadav

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy., Revenue Deptt.,
Lucknow And Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nishant Kumar Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Lavania,J.

Heard.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner says that he may be permitted to incorporate necessary correction in the prayer clause.

The prayer aforesaid is **allowed**.

Accordingly, learned counsel for the petitioner is permitted to correct the prayer clause during course of the day.

Present petition has been filed for the following main relief:-

" i. Issue a suitable order or direction to the respondent No. 2 to decide the recall application (Bajdaira) dated 27.04.2021 in Case No.743 under section 9 A (2) U.P.C.H. Act (Dhamsadeen Vs. Pancham), within stipulated period."

It is submitted that on the dates fixed no effective order could be passed on the Recall Application (Bajdaira) Dated 27.04.2021 preferred in Case No.743, for the reasons beyond control and on account of pendency of same, the petitioner is suffering great hardship. In these circumstances, indulgence of this Court is required.

Considering the aforesaid, without entering into the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to opposite party No.2-Consolidation Officer, Kuchera, Inayat Nagar, District-Ayodhya, to consider and decide the Recall Application (Bajdaira), Dated 27.04.2021 as early as possible, expeditiously say within a period of six months from the next date fixed in the case, after providing proper opportunity of hearing to the parties, if there is no other legal impediment in this regard. For conducting the proceedings in the time specified, unnecessary adjournments shall be avoided.

With the aforesaid, the petition is **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 17.10.2023

Vinay/-