

Court No. - 27

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 12094 of 2023

Applicant :- Somil

Opposite Party :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home, Lucknow And Others

Counsel for Applicant :- Ajeet Kumar Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Brij Raj Singh,J.

1. Notice has been served upon opposite party no. 2, but none has appeared before this Court to press the present bail application.

2. Heard Shri Ajeet Kumar Singh, learned counsel for the applicant as well as Shri G.D. Bhatt, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the record.

3. This bail application has been filed seeking release of accused/applicant **Somil** on bail, in Case Crime No. 642 of 2022, under Sections 376-DA, 372, 373, 344 I.P.C., 5L/6 POCSO Act and 3/4/5 of Immoral Traffic (Prevention) Act, 1956, Police Station Atrauli, District Hardoi.

4. Learned counsel for the applicant submitted the co-accused namely Sitesh, who has been assigned similar role, has already been granted bail in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 12546 of 2023 vide order dated 28.11.2023 respectively. The case of the applicant is at par with the case of the applicant and is covered with the factum of parity, as such the applicant is also entitled to be enlarged on bail. Learned counsel further submitted that the applicant has no criminal history and he is languishing in jail since 03.11.2022.

5. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for grant of bail, but could not dispute that co-accused Sitesh has already been granted bail.

6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, perusing the record and also considering the nature of allegations, arguments advanced by the learned counsel for the parties, looking into the fact that the co-accused Sitesh has already been granted bail, I find it to be a fit case for grant of bail.

7. Accordingly, the bail application is **allowed**.

8. Let the accused/applicant **Somil** involved in above-mentioned case, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond with two sureties each in the like amount to

the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions:-

(i) The applicant shall file an undertaking to the effect that he shall not seek any adjournment on the dates fixed for evidence when the witnesses are present in court. In case of default of this condition, it shall be open for the trial court to treat it as abuse of liberty of bail and pass orders in accordance with law.

(ii) The applicant shall remain present before the trial court on each date fixed, either personally or through his counsel. In case of his absence, without sufficient cause, the trial court may proceed against her under Section 229-A of the Indian Penal Code.

(iii) In case, the applicant misuses the liberty of bail during trial and in order to secure his presence, proclamation under Section 82 Cr.P.C. is issued and the applicant fails to appear before the court on the date fixed in such proclamation, then the trial court shall initiate proceedings against him, in accordance with law, under Section 174-A of the Indian Penal Code.

(iv) The applicant shall remain present, in person, before the trial court on the dates fixed for (i) opening of the case, (ii) framing of charge and (iii) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. If in the opinion of the trial court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the trial court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against him in accordance with law.

9. It is made clear that the observations made in this order are limited to the purpose of determination of this bail application and will in no way be construed as an expression on the merits of the case. The Trial Court shall be absolutely free to arrive at its independent conclusions on the basis of evidence led unaffected by anything in this order.

Order Date :- 30.11.2023
DiVYa/Rajneesh