

Court No. - 1

Case :- PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 903 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jagdish Prasad Tyagi

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Nagar Vikas Deptt. U.P. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anil Kumar Nishad, Jagroopan Nishad, Rajesh Kumar Dhuriya

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., A.P. Singh

Hon'ble Attau Rahman Masoodi, J.

Hon'ble Om Prakash Shukla, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for petitioner, Shri A.P. Singh, Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Amrendra Pratap, learned counsel for respondent Nos.3 to 5, Shri Anuj Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent Nos.12 and 13, Shri Anil Kumar Singh Visen, learned Standing Counsel for State-respondents No.1, 2, 6 to 11.
2. The writ petition filed in public interest serves the interest of none other than the petitioner himself.
3. It is well settled that a writ petition filed in public interest ought not to have a personal interest in the proceedings.
4. Once it is admitted that the petitioner himself is the complainant, therefore, the P.I.L. in such a situation would not lie.
5. The instant P.I.L. is, accordingly, **dismissed**.

(Om Prakash Shukla, J.) (Attau Rahman Masoodi, J.)

Order Date :- 10.10.2023

Shubhankar