

Court No. - 7

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 7777 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Srivastava

Respondent :- U.P. Cooperative Federation Ltd. Thru.
Managing Director And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shishir Chandra

Counsel for Respondent :- Shireesh Kumar, Anindya Shastri

Hon'ble Abdul Moin, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Anindya Shashtri, Advocate, on behalf the respondents no. 1 to 3, who states that he has filed Vakalatnama in the Registry.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner states that he does not intend to press the writ petition with regard to prayer no.(v) and (vii) and prays that the same may be permitted to be not pressed with liberty to file afresh.

3. To the said prayer, Sri Shashtri, learned counsel for the respondents, has no objection.

4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed with regard to relief nos. (v) and (vii) with liberty to file afresh.

5. Under challenge are the orders dated 19.01.2021 and 20.07.2023, copies of which are annexures no. 1 and 2 respectively to the writ petition. By the order dated 19.01.2021 the petitioner has been punished. By the order dated 20.07.2023 the appeal filed by the petitioner was rejected.

6. At the very outset, Shri Anindya Shashtri, learned counsel for the respondents no. 1 to 3 states that he has got instructions from the respondents no. 1 to 3 that this Court may set aside the orders dated 19.01.2021 and 20.07.2023 leaving it open to the respondents to pass a fresh order.

7. Considering the aforesaid submission as made by Shri Anindya Shashtri, learned counsel for the respondents no. 1 to 3, the writ petition is **allowed**. The orders dated 19.01.2021 and 20.07.2023, copies of which are annexures no. 1 and 2 are set aside leaving it open to the respondents to pass fresh orders.

8. Consequences to follow.

9. However, it would be open for the respondents to proceed against the petitioner in accordance with law.

Order Date :- 18.10.2023

A. Katiyar