

Court No. - 7

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 7100 of 2023

Petitioner :- Bipatti Ram

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. State Road Transport Deptt. Lko.
And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Anuj Kudesia, Surya Kumar

Hon'ble Abdul Moin, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent no. 1, Sri Vikas Agarwal, Advocate holding brief of Sri Anuj Kudesia, learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 and Sri Surya Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 3.

With the consent of learned counsel appearing on behalf of the contesting parties, the instant writ petition is being finally decided.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that despite the petitioner having retired on attaining the age of superannuation on 31.07.1999, his pension has still not been paid.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that with regard to the relief sought in the writ petition, the petitioner may be permitted to submit a representation before the respondent No.2 which may be directed to be decided within a fixed time frame to which learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel appearing for the respondent no. 1, Sri Vikas Agarwal, Advocate holding brief of Sri Anuj Kidesia, learned counsel for the respondent no. 2 and Sri Surya Kumar, learned counsel for the respondent no. 3 have no objection.

In view of the above, it is provided that in case the petitioner submits a representation within two weeks from today before the respondent No.2 then the respondent No.2 shall endeavour to decide the same by means of a reasoned and speaking order within a further period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order along with representation.

With the aforesaid direction, writ petition is disposed of.

It is made clear that this Court has not gone into the merits of the case.

Order Date :- 11.10.2023

Pachhere/-