

**Court No. - 4**

**Case :-** CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 2064 of 2017

**Applicant :-** Satish Chandra Srivastava

**Opposite Party :-** Shri Jay Narayan Upadhyay And Another

**Counsel for Applicant :-** Sanjay Kumar Srivastava

**Counsel for Opposite Party :-** Rishabh Kapoor,Indu Prakash Singh

**Hon'ble Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.**

1. Case called out.
2. Sri Sanjay Kumar Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner is reported to be on sanctioned leave. This contempt petition is of the year, 2017.
3. Sri Rishabh Kapoor, learned counsel for the opposite parties, on the basis of instructions, has stated that no recovery has been executed from the petitioner and whatever the amount has been recovered, has been refunded to the petitioner, therefore, the order of Writ Court has not been flouted, rather the same has been complied with.
4. The case of the year 2017 cannot be adjourned on any ground. When the fact has been noted that the order of Writ Court has been complied with, there is no purpose to keep this contempt petition pending any longer.
5. Accordingly, the instant contempt petition is ***dismissed***.
6. Notices, if any, are discharged.
7. However, if the petitioner has got any material/document to show that the order of Writ Court has not been complied with, as informed by learned counsel for the opposite parties, he may file an appropriate application for revival of the present contempt petition.

[Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.]

**Order Date :-** 18.10.2023

kkv/