

Court No. - 21

Case :- MATTERS UNDER ARTICLE 227 No. - 3217 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Krishna Devi

Respondent :- Addl. Commissioner (Administration) Ayodhya Division Ayodhya And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Mohan Singh

Hon'ble Dinesh Pathak, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned standing counsel representing respondent Nos. 1 & 2, learned counsel for the Gaon Sabha and perused the record.

Instant writ petition has been filed, *inter alia*, for the following relief:

"(a). Direct the opposite party no.1 i.e. Additional Commissioner (Administration), Ayodhya Division, Ayodhya to decide the Revision No.206/2017, Computerized Case No.C20170400206, Vikas Kumar and others V/s Krishna Devi and others, under section 210 U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, expeditiously within a period as fixed by this Hon'ble court, in the interest of justice.

(b). Any other order, or direction, which this court deem fit and proper under the circumstances of the case in favour of Petitioner be passed in the interest of justice.

(c). Allow the Petition with cost in favour of the Petitioner."

It is submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that revision arising out of the correction application is pending since 02.03.2017 despite the fact that the statutory period as enunciated under Rule 183(4) of the U.P. Revenue Code Rules, 2016 has already been expired. It is further submitted that both the parties already appeared and case is ready for final adjudication.

Learned Standing Counsel as well as learned counsel for Gaon Sabha have no objection, in case, a direction is issued for early disposal of the case.

In this conspectus, as above, no useful purpose would be served to keep this matter pending, therefore, this Court deems it appropriate to finally dispose of the present writ petition,

without making any observation on the merits of the case as mentioned in the present writ petition, with a direction to Additional Commissioner (Administration), Ayodhya Division, Ayodhya (respondent No.1), before whom the aforementioned matter is pending disposal, to decide the same expeditiously, preferably, within a period of six months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

It is expected that it should be decided by a reasoned and speaking order, in accordance with law, after affording opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned without granting unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties.

With the aforesaid direction, present writ petition is disposed of.

Order Date :- 31.5.2023

Saurabh Yadav/-