

Court No. - 8

1. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4170 of 2022

Petitioner :- Santosh Kumar

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko. and 5 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Singh,Nagendra Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

2. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4366 of 2022

Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko. and others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Singh,Prasoon Kumar Anjor

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

3. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4382 of 2022

Petitioner :- Kamta Prasad

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home, Civil Sectt. Up
Govt. Lko. and 5 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prasoon Kumar Anjor,Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

4. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4643 of 2022

Petitioner :- Kashi Ram Chauhan

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home and 5 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

5. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4695 of 2022

Petitioner :- Jai Narayan Mishra

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko. and others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

6. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4779 of 2022

Petitioner :- Shiv Narayan

Respondent :- State of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home Lko and 5 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ajay Rawat,Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

7. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4948 of 2022

Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Verma

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home, Civil Sectt. U.P.
Govt. Lko. and 5 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

8. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 7045 of 2022

Petitioner :- Shanti Prasad Pal

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Home, Lko. and 4 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Gaurav Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

9. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 7068 of 2022

Petitioner :- Amar Nath

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Principal Secretary Home Lko. and 4
others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Gaurav Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

10. Case :- WRIT - A No. - 7080 of 2022

Petitioner :- Anjani Kumar Ojha

Respondent :- State Of Up Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Home Civil Secretariat
Lko and 4 others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar Shukla, Gaurav Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Dinesh Kumar Singh, J.

1. Heard S/Sri Awadhesh Kumr Singh, Nagendra Pratap Singh and Ram Kewal Tripathi, learned counsel for the petitioners and Sri Tushar Verma, learned Standing Counsel.

2. This bunch of writ petitions have been filed seeking a Writ of Certiorari quashing the order dated 4.2.2022 passed by the Director General Provincial Guard Team/Development Team and Youth Welfare, Uttar Pradesh, Lucknow with further prayer for a Writ of Mandamus commanding the opposite parties to provide the duty to the petitioners on the post of Prantiya Rakshak Dal and pay them honorarium as is being paid to the

other similarly situated Prantiya Rakshak Dal.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in the case of similarly situated persons, this Court has passed the order dated 7.10.2021 in Service Single No.12261 of 2021, Kapil Dev Tiwari Vs. State of U.P. and others. Against the said judgement and order dated 7.10.2021, the State filed Special Appeal No.131 of 2022, which was dismissed vide order dated 5.4.2022.

4. Sri Tushar Verma, learned Standing Counsel fairly submits that the controversy involved in this bunch of writ petitions is squarely covered by the judgement and order dated 7.10.2021 passed by this Court in Service Single No.12261 of 2021 (supra).

5. For the sake of convenience, the operation portion of the judgement and order dated 7.10.2021 passed by this Court in Service Single No.12261 of 2021 (supra) is reproduced as under:-

“Considering the fact that the petitioner has rendered 9 years of long services with Prantiya Rakshak Dal and his work and conduct was not found unsatisfactory at any point of time and no complain whatsoever, was received against him, at this stage, dispensing with his service, would be inequitable. Even, if the petitioner has not been able to produce his training certificate, since he has rendered 9 years long services with the department, it would be appropriate to direct the respondents to give the duty to the petitioner if there is work and pay him honorarium as is being paid to the other members of Prantiya Rakshak Dal.

With the aforesaid directions, the present writ petition is finally disposed of.”

6. In view thereof, these writ petitions are also **disposed of** in terms of the judgement and order dated 7.10.2021 passed in Service Single No.12261 of 2021 (supra).

Order Date :- 4.7.2023

Rao/-