

Court No. - 8

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 1003988 of 2014

Petitioner :- Shyam Lal

Respondent :- Addl. District Judge Court Room No. 7
Pratpagarh And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prabhakar Vardhan Chaudha

Counsel for Respondent :- Manish Kumar

Hon'ble Pankaj Bhatia,J.

1. It is informed that one of the respondent had died during the pendency of the writ petition, for which, an application for substitution has been filed, however, the matter is very old one, I have perused the impugned order and the material available on record.

2. The present petition has been filed challenging an order, whereby the amendment application filed for amending the boundaries as disclosed in the plaint was allowed, against which, a revision was preferred, which was dismissed.

3. Considering the fact that the amendment was sought only with regard to the description of the property as disclosed in the plaint, no error was committed in allowing the application. The orders impugned do not require any interference under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. The writ petition is ***dismissed***.

5. The parties are at liberty to raise all the issues including the issue of limitation at the time of argument of the suit.

Order Date :- 29.11.2023

akverma