

Court No. - 8

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 1000969 of 2014

Petitioner :- Onkar Nath Singh

Respondent :- District Judge Bahraich Distt. Bahraich And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shiv Pal Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- Manish Kumar

Hon'ble Pankaj Bhatia,J.

1. Present petition has been filed against an order whereby the impleadment application filed by the petitioner under Order 1 Rule 10 CPC was dismissed and a revision thereto was also dismissed.

2. Contention of counsel for the petitioner is that the petitioner was the successor by virtue of his being the natural successor whereas the claim of the plaintiff was based upon a Hibanama. The Court noticed that the suit for eviction was filed; the Court also noticed that the Hibanama was already declared as void.

3. The revisional Court has also given a detailed judgment after considering the rights of the parties.

4. No good ground for interference is made out. The petition lacks merit and is accordingly ***dismissed***.

5. The petitioner would be at liberty to agitate their rights, if any, by filing appropriate proceedings in a proper Court of law.

Order Date :- 24.11.2023

nishant