

Court No. - 4

Case :- CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 1261 of 2021

Applicant :- Sri Bharat Lal And Ors.

Opposite Party :- Deepak Kumar Prin. Secy. Housing And Urban Planning And Anr.

Counsel for Applicant :- Sarvesh Kumar Tiwari, Ajay Pandey, Dharmendra Kumar

Hon'ble Rajesh Singh Chauhan, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioners.

2. The Writ Court has passed the order dated 16.03.2021 in Service Single No.6004 of 2021, which reads as under:-

"Heard Sri Dharmendra Tiwari, learned counsel for the petitioners and learned Standing Counsel for opposite party no.1. Notices for opposite parties no.2 & 3 have been accepted by Sri Dharmendra Kumar Dixit.

Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that for redressal of the grievance, the petitioners have preferred representation to the competent authority, but to no avail. Learned counsel for the petitioners has also submitted that the petitioners may be permitted to prefer a fresh separate representation before opposite party no.1 and this Court may direct opposite party no.1 to pass appropriate order strictly in accordance with law.

Learned counsel for the opposite parties has no objection if direction for disposal of the representation is issued.

Therefore, without entering into merits of the issue, the petitioners are permitted to prefer a fresh separate representation to opposite party no.1 taking all pleas and grounds, which are necessary for disposal of the representation and also giving a copy of the fresh separate representation to the Vice Chairman, Raebareli Development Authority, Raebareli along with certified copy of this order within a period of fifteen days from today. If such representation is preferred by the petitioners within the aforesaid stipulated time, the authority concerned shall dispose of the aforesaid representation of the petitioners by speaking and reasoned order, strictly in accordance with law, with expedition, say within a period of two months from the date of receipt of the representation and thereafter communicate the decision thereof to the petitioners forthwith.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is disposed of finally."

3. Learned Standing Counsel has stated that in compliance of the aforesaid order whereby the direction was issued to decide the representation of the petitioner, the representation of the

petitioner has been decided by the Principal Secretary, Department of Housing and Urban Development vide office memo dated 04.08.2021, a copy thereof is taken on record and one copy of thereof is provided to the learned counsel for the petitioners.

4. In the wake of the aforesaid development, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the instant contempt petition.

5. Accordingly, the instant contempt petition is ***dismissed***.

6. Notices, if any, stand discharged.

7. However, it is always open for the petitioners to assail the order dated 04.08.2021, if the petitioners feel themselves aggrieved from the aforesaid order.

[Rajesh Singh Chauhan,J.]

Order Date :- 22.12.2023

Suresh/