

Court No. - 8

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 4602 of 2003

Petitioner :- Ramu

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Throuh Sey. Lko.And 3 Ors.

Counsel for Petitioner :- Himanshu Raghav,Rajendra Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saral Srivastava,J.

1. List has been revised. Learned counsel for the petitioner is not present. However, learned Standing Counsel for the respondents is present.

2. The petitioner by means of the present writ petition has assailed the order dated 19.06.2002 passed by the respondent no.1.

3. By the impugned order, the claim of the petitioner for compassionate appointment was rejected on the ground that there is no ground to condone the delay in submitting the application for compassionate appointment. In the present case, father of the petitioner expired on 19.05.1978, and it appears that application for compassionate appointment was filed after the inordinate delay which has been rejected by the order dated 18.06.2002.

4. In such view of the fact, this Court does not find that respondent has committed any illegality in rejecting the claim of the petitioner.

5. Consequently, writ petition lacks merit and is hereby ***dismissed*** with no order as to costs.

Order Date :- 7.11.2023

Sattiyarth