

A.F.R.

Neutral Citation No. - 2023:AHC-LKO:81779

RESERVED

Court No. - 30

- (1) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 83 of 2023
Petitioner :- Sheeldhar Singh And 29 Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu.
Anubhag Lko. And 5 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Singh, Vivek Srivastava
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

Connected with

- (2) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 9336 of 2019
Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar Singh And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu.
Anubhag-4 And Ors.
Counsel for Petitioner :- Avdhesh Shukla
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ghaus Beg, Kumar Ayush

with

- (3) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 12541 of 2019
Petitioner :- Suneel Kumar And Ors.
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko
And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar

with

- (4) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 17792 of 2021
Petitioner :- Arun Kumar And Ors.
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru Prin. Secy. Basic Education
And Ors.
Counsel for Petitioner :- Swatantra Lata Yadav, Arvind Kumar Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar

with

- (5) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 6697 of 2022
Petitioner :- Gyanendra Singh And Others

***Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others
and connected petitions***

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education
Anubhag-4 Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Prashant
Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(6) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 8071 of 2022

Petitioner :- Praveen Kumar And 59 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education
Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma,Arvind Kumar
Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar

with

(7) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 8966 of 2022

Petitioner :- Yogendra Kumar Sagar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Basic Edu. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jitendra Vikram,Ravindra Kumar
Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Prashant
Kumar Singh, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(8) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 107 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sushil Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu.
Anubhag -4 And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pramod Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(9) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 118 of 2023

Petitioner :- Piyush Pandey And 11 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept.
Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(10) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 151 of 2023

Petitioner :- Prabhakant And 9 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(11) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 156 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jitendra Singh And 59 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl . Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Govt. Civil Sectr. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Prashant Arora,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(12) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 188 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Narayan And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance Deptt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Prashant Arora,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(13) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 209 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pramod Kumar Mishra And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra,Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

- (14) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 214 of 2023
Petitioner :- Upendra Mani Mishra And 36 Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance U.P. Lko And 8 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra,Amit Mishra
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh
- with
- (15) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 235 of 2023
Petitioner :- Surendra Kumar Yadav And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education Lko. And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh
- with
- (16) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 266 of 2023
Petitioner :- Yogeshwar Singh And 28 Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Mukesh Singh,Faizal Hashmi
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh
- with
- (17) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 274 of 2023
Petitioner :- Shashank Bhusan Tewari And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar Dixit,Ashish Kumar Pandey,Ashish Verma
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh
- with
- (18) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 288 of 2023
Petitioner :- Neeraj Kumar Hans And 16 Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. (Basic Education) Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Onkar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shivam Sharma

with

(19) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 290 of 2023

Petitioner :- Gaurav Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(20) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 310 of 2023

Petitioner :- Alok Shukla And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jitendra Vikram,Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(21) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 325 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ashish Srivastava And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Prashant Arora,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(22) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 326 of 2023

Petitioner :- Avadhesh Kuamr And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(23) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 336 of 2023

Petitioner :- Deepak Bajpai And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Basic Education Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Kumar Dixit,Ashish Kumar Pandey,Ashish Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(24) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 361 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Pratap Vikram

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Basic Education Anubhag-4, Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vijay Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shivam Sharma

with

(25) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 381 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rananjay Pratap Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ran Vijay Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(26) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 401 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Tripathi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(27) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 403 of 2023

Petitioner :- Udai Bhan Verma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance, Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Surendra Kumar Mishra, Dharm Raj Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh, Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(28) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 404 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smriti Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajneesh Maurya, Ankit Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(29) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 409 of 2023

Petitioner :- Suraj Singh Kannoja And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(30) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 411 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ashutosh Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education, Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vyomesh Chandra Shukla, Sheetal Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(31) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 423 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Arti Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy., Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(32) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 428 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rajeev Gupta And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(33) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 430 of 2023

Petitioner :- Harshit Awasthi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy., Basic Edu., Lko And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vyomesh Chandra Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(34) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 437 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rakesh Chandra Sharma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy., Institutional Finance And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(35) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 441 of 2023

Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

- (36) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 479 of 2023
Petitioner :- Rajesh Kumar Maurya And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay Singh

with

- (37) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 486 of 2023
Petitioner :- Avesh Vikram Singh And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Lko. And 8 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra, Amit Mishra
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Jaibind Singh Rathour, Ran Vijay Singh

with

- (38) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 491 of 2023
Petitioner :- Pradeep Kumar
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Chief Secy. Govt. Of U.P., Lko. And 3 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- D.P. Dutt Tiwari
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

- (39) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 507 of 2023
Petitioner :- Surendra Kumar And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy Dept. Of Basic Edu. Lko And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

- (40) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 514 of 2023
Petitioner :- Prem Chand And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Salik Ram Yadav,Amol Dixit

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(41) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 522 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Dayal And 56 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Irrigation Deptt. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Kunj Bihari Pandey,Raj Deepak Chaudhary

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(42) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 524 of 2023

Petitioner :- Aman Kumar Verma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Manoj Kumar Gupta,Harish Chandra Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Prashant Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(43) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 529 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Pratap Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mukesh Kumar Tewari,Pankaj Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(44) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 535 of 2023

Petitioner :- Abhishek Gautam And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(45) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 538 of 2023

Petitioner :- Radhey Shyam Maurya And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of Finance-1, U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Atul Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(46) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 543 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mukesh Rai And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra,Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(47) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 547 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rahul Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(48) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 555 of 2023

Petitioner :- Prem Nath Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Deptt. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Prashant Arora

with

(49) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 558 of 2023
Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar Singh And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Basic Education, Lko. And 6 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Jay Prakash Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(50) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 560 of 2023
Petitioner :- Kuldeep Kant Shukla And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Civil Sectt. Lko And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajesh Kumar Pathak
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(51) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 562 of 2023
Petitioner :- Anju Yadav And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education Lko. And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(52) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 567 of 2023
Petitioner :- Sushil Kumar And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. (Basic Edu.) And 3 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Onkar Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shivam Sharma

with

(53) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 569 of 2023
Petitioner :- Samrat Ashok And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P Thru. Prin. Secy. (Basic Edu), Lucknow
Counsel for Petitioner :- Sushil Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Prashant Kumar Singh, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(54) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 570 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjeev Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance, Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra,Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(55) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 575 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vivek Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. (Basic Edu.) Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sushil Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Chandra Bhushan Singh Nishad,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(56) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 584 of 2023

Petitioner :- Dharmendra Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Manjeet Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(57) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 588 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vishnu Kumar Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vyomesh Chandra Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(58) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 593 of 2023

Petitioner :- Raj Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(59) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 600 of 2023

Petitioner :- Umesh Kumar Pandey And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashish Kumar Pandey,Pankaj Kumar Dixit

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(60) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 606 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anvesh Upadhyay And 22 Oterhs

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Dwivedi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(61) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 614 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kundan Singh And 45 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Govt. U.P. Civil Sectt. U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shilendra Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(62) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 618 of 2023

Petitioner :- Raj Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar Tiwari, Pramod Kumar Pandey, Vishal Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(63) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 620 of 2023

Petitioner :- Awadhesh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Keshari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Neeraj Chaurasiya, Prashant Kumar Singh, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(64) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 626 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pradeep Kumar Maurya And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vikas Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(65) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 633 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sunil Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(66) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 634 of 2023

Petitioner :- Surjeet Kumar And 21 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Govt. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(67) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 635 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vivek Kumar Gupta And 7 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Govt. Of U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jay Kumar Soni, Nevedita Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(68) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 638 of 2023

Petitioner :- Diwakar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(69) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 639 of 2023

Petitioner :- Avneesh Shukla And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(70) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 640 of 2023

Petitioner :- Umesh Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(71) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 644 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shivam Upadhyay And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And

Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(72) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 645 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anand Kumar Gupta And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy.
Institutional Finance, Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra,Shaktipal Rajpal

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(73) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 647 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Yadav And 24 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Education U.P. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jay Prakash Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer
Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(74) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 649 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mahendra Pratap Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And
4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran
Vijay Singh

with

(75) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 651 of 2023

Petitioner :- Haridwar Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pawan Kumar Pandey,Shashank
Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(76) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 654 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjay Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin./Addl. Chief Secy.
Basic Edu. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajesh Kumar Pathak

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(77) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 655 of 2023

Petitioner :- Dharmendra Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Satyanshu Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(78) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 656 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar Shrivastav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy.
Institutional Finance U.P. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mohd. Ateeq Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran
Vijay Singh

with

(79) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 659 of 2023

Petitioner :- Harendera Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.
Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra,Durga Prasad
Shukla,Shashi Bajpai

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(80) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 662 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Ashish Maurya And 129 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.

Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dharendra Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran
Vijay Singh

with

(81) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 670 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kedar Nath Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Keshari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Prashant
Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(82) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 671 of 2023

Petitioner :- Satyendra Kumar Shukla And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko.
And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Misra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(83) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 678 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Arya And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu.
Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(84) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 679 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ashok Tiwari And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Finance, Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashok Kumar Singh,Atish Kumar
Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

**Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others
and connected petitions**

with

- (85) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 681 of 2023
Petitioner :- Amarjeet And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

- (86) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 689 of 2023
Petitioner :- Ramji Lal Suman And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

- (87) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 692 of 2023
Petitioner :- Anand Kumar And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Additional Chief Secy. Finance (Lekha) Lko. 4 And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Naresh Singh
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Net Ram,Ran Vijay Singh,Sandeep Kumar Yadav

with

- (88) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 693 of 2023
Petitioner :- Ajeet Kumar And Others
Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Chief Secy. Lko. And Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Neeraj Kumar Saxena,Aditya Singh,Gaurav Saxena,Himanshu Kumar Trivedi,Jushi Saxena, Lalla Chauhan,Rajni Saxena,Rishi Saxena,Seena Saxena,Sukhmani Singh,Tushar Gaur
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(89) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 699 of 2023

Petitioner :- Naveen Prakash Pandey And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anil Kumar Srivastava,Ambrish Kumar Pandey,Sweta Swarankar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ghaus Beg,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Kumar Ayush,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(90) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 701 of 2023

Petitioner :- Neeraj Kumar And 39 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of Basic Education U.P. Civil Secrett. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Arvind Kumar,Aditya Kumar Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Rahul Shukla, Uday Veer Singh

with

(91) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 703 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sudhir Kumar Bharti And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gaurav Singh,Shailesh Sachan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(92) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 717 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mohammad Salam And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of Finance Lko And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shashi Bajpai,Durga Prasad Shukla, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(93) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 720 of 2023

Petitioner :- Santosh Kumar Yadav And 47 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu.

Anubhag- 4, Govt Of U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Lakshmana Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Prashant Arora,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(94) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 722 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Farzana And Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl Chief Secy. Dept. Of Basic Edu. Lko And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gyanendra Nath,Yogendra Nath Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(95) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 727 of 2023

Petitioner :- Maharana Pratap Singh Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pawan Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(96) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 729 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rinki Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Drupad Upadhyay

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(97) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 733 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mrityunjay Singh Chauhan And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ankit Singh,Drupad Upadhyay

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(98) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 737 of 2023

Petitioner :- Tulsi Ram Verma

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy.
Institutional Finance, Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra, Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh, Shivam
Sharma

with

(99) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 740 of 2023

Petitioner :- Devendra Pratap Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu.
Lko. And 9 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(100) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 741 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sneh Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of
Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi
Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(101) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 742 of 2023

Petitioner :- Alok Kumar Sharma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Karunakar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Chandra Bhushan Singh
Nishad, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(102) **Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 743 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rajesh Kumar Ahirwar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. And

**Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others
and connected petitions**

Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nand Kishore Patel, Onkar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh, Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(103) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 746 of 2023

Petitioner :- Umesh Chandra Kanaujia And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dharmendra Kumar Misra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rajiv Singh Chuahan, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(104) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 759 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kapilesh Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Nandesh Verma, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Prashant Arora, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(105) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 760 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko., And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Net Ram, Ran Vijay Singh, Sandeep Kumar Yadav

with

(106) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 761 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shivam Katiyar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ran Vijay Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Manish Mishra, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(107) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 765 of 2023

Petitioner :- Prem Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Satyanshu Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(108) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 769 of 2023

Petitioner :- Brijendra Kumar Yadav, Ehrms Code- 626868, And 135 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Govt. U.P. Civil Sectt. U.P. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Shashi Bajpai,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(109) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 772 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mukhtar Anees Ahmad And 47 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(110) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 773 of 2023

Petitioner :- Preeti Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education, Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vyomesh Chandra Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(111) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 775 of 2023

Petitioner :- Samar Bahadur Yadav And 135 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of

Basic Edu. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Swatantra Lata Yadav,Rakesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(112) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 777 of 2023

Petitioner :- Om Dutt Tripathi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic Edu. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(113) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 780 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pandey Kanchan Ramsakal And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Praveen Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(114) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 782 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajit Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(115) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 788 of 2023

Petitioner :- Nishauddeen Baig And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education Anubhag-4 Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pramod Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Prashant Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(116) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 789 of 2023

Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Digvijay Singh Yadav, Shikha Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(117) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 797 of 2023

Petitioner :- Satya Veer And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(118) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 812 of 2023

Petitioner :- Dhanita Kumari And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ran Vijay Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rajiv Singh Chuahan, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(119) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 825 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vijay Panwar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra, Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(120) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 829 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Pandey And 1 Another

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of Basic Edu. Lko And 5 Others

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pankaj Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(121) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 832 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sudha And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar Verma,Anand Dubey,Mohd. Aslam Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(122) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 834 of 2023

Petitioner :- Suneel Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept. Of Finance Lko And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra,Durga Prasad Shukla, Jai Shankar Prasad

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(123) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 848 of 2023

Petitioner :- Abhay Pratap Singh And 30 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance Account Deptt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Harish Chandra Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(124) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 879 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vivek Kumar And 40 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Basic Edu. U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Azhar Ikram,Ram Mohan Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ghaus Beg,Kumar Ayush,Ran Vijay Singh

with

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

(125) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 880 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Lal And 11 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Dept.
Finance U.P. Civil Secrett. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi
Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(126) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 881 of 2023

Petitioner :- Lal Mani Yadav And 19 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.
Finance Govt. U.P. Civil Secttl Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra, Durga Prasad Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Prashant Arora, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(127) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 882 of 2023

Petitioner :- Narendra Singh And 330 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And
2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh

with

(128) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 883 of 2023

Petitioner :- Bhupendra Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Education Lko. Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mukesh Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(129) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 884 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mohd. Shafiq And 129 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Additional Chief Secy.
Deptt. Of Basic Edu, U.P. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Mohan Mishra, Azhar Ikram

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(130) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 885 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shyamu And 8 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru/. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Govt. U.P. Lko. And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Misra,Abhijeet Kumar Srivastav,Nazmul Hasan,Sonam Kumari Thapa

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Manish Mishra,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(131) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 886 of 2023

Petitioner :- Abhay Kumar Singh And 40 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru.Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance -1 And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Atul Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(132) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 887 of 2023

Petitioner :- Atul Mishra And 61 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addil. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Govt. U.P. Civil Sectr. Lko, And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Jai Shankar Prasad,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- G.A.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(133) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 888 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sushil Kumar Dubey And 22 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Govt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinay Misra,Mukesh Dhar Dwivedi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(134) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 889 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mohammad Rashid And 80 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Pushpendra Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Chandra Bhushan Singh Nishad,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(135) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 903 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shiv Nath Chaudhary And 25 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Civil Sectt. Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jitendra Vikram,Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(136) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 904 of 2023

Petitioner :- Guddu Prasad And 118 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(137) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 907 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Gayatri Singh And 5 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional, Finance U.P. Govt. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dinesh Kumar Tripathi,Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(138) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 938 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ramesh Chandra Yadav And 10 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education U.P. Civil Secrett. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jay Kumar Soni,Nevedita Gupta

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay

Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(139) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 944 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kalyan Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu,
Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Nagendra Bahadur Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(140) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 946 of 2023

Petitioner :- Abhay Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic
Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mahendra Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(141) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 947 of 2023

Petitioner :- Manjeet Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Shashi
Bajpai,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(142) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 967 of 2023

Petitioner :- Naushad Ali And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addil. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Finance, Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(143) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 973 of 2023

Petitioner :- Dharmendra Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma, Pramod Kumar Verma, Prem Narayan Sharma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(144) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 974 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance, Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ankit Srivastava, Rajneesh Maurya

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(145) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 994 of 2023

Petitioner :- Charan Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 15 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Shashi Bajpai, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Chandra Bhushan Singh Nishad, Neeraj Chaurasiya, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(146) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 997 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ankur Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance U.P. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra, Piyush Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(147) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 999 of 2023

Petitioner :- Adesh Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Lko. And

4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Net Ram, Ran Vijay Singh, Sandeep Kumar Yadav

with

(148) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1001 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vivek Kumar Raghuvanshi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(149) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1002 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Mishra And 205 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Govt. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dinesh Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(150) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1003 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Pratap Singh Sikarwar And 293 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Institutional Finance Govt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Piyush Mishra, Amit Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(151) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1004 of 2023

Petitioner :- Aditya Pal And 212 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

(152) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1007 of 2023

Petitioner :- Awadhesh Kumar Verma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko.
And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Keshari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Neeraj Chaurasiya, Prashant
Kumar Singh, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(153) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1009 of 2023

Petitioner :- Akhilesh Kumar Saroj And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Finance And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashok Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., P.K. Singh Bisen, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(154) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1031 of 2023

Petitioner :- Chandreshwar Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic
Education, Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mahendra Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(155) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1034 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Gopal And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy, Basic Edu.
And 11 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gulam Ali Rashidi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Chandra
Bhushan Singh Nishad, Ghaus Beg, Kumar Ayush, Neeraj
Chaurasiya, Rajiv Singh Chuahan, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(156) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1039 of 2023

Petitioner :- Lallu Prasad And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Education, Lko. And 11 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gulam Ali Rashidi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Chandra Bhushan Singh
Nishad,Ghaus Beg,Kumar Ayush,Net Ram,Prashant
Arora,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Sandeep Kumar
Yadav,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(157) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1042 of 2023

Petitioner :- Gaurav Pandey And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gulam Ali Rashidi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Chandra
Bhushan Singh Nishad,Net Ram,Ran Vijay Singh,Sandeep
Kumar Yadav

with

(158) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1043 of 2023

Petitioner :- Usha And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu.
Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Keshari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Prashant
Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(159) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1048 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pankaj Tiwari And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.
Basic Education Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(160) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1049 of 2023

Petitioner :- Suneel Pratap Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic Education
Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

**Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others
and connected petitions**

with

(161) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1050 of 2023

Petitioner :- Neeraj Tripathi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Education, Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Gulam Ali Rashidi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Neeraj Chaurasiya,P.K. Singh Bisen,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(162) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1055 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anant Deep Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Jai Shankar Prasad,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(163) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1061 of 2023

Petitioner :- Adeel Ahmad And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mohd.Saulat Wasim,Mohd. Shameem Khan,Saleem Ahmad Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(164) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1063 of 2023

Petitioner :- Gyan Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. (Basic Education) Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashutosh Mishra,Virendra Kr. Singh Gautam

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Chandra Bhushan Singh

Nishad,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(165) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1076 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sushma Gopal

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Deptt. Of
Secondary Education, Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Umesh Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(166) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1098 of 2023

Petitioner :- Prashant Saxena And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance,
Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(167) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1101 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shashi Kant Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of
Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Indra Pratap Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(168) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1105 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anurag Sengar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education
Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shilendra Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(169) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1120 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shiv Pujan And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Chief Secy. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rajeshwar, Akhand Pratap Singh, Sharad Nandan Ojha

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(170) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1122 of 2023

Petitioner :- Atul Yadav And 5 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Swatantra Lata Yadav, Rakesh Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rajiv Singh Chuahan, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(171) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1125 of 2023

Petitioner :- Durgesh Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla, Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh, Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(172) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1129 of 2023

Petitioner :- Bhupendra Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Education Basic Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Abhishek Awasthi, Anil Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh

with

(173) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1136 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sudhakar Shukla And 38 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic. Education Govt. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Surendra Kumar Mishra,Dharm Raj Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(174) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1137 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pranjul Kumar Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Basic Education Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rakesh Kumar Yadav,Swatantra Lata Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Prashant Kumar Singh,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(175) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1156 of 2023

Petitioner :- Manoj Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Kumar Keshari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Neeraj Chaurasiya,Prashant Kumar Singh

with

(176) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1177 of 2023

Petitioner :- Kamlesh Kumar Gautam And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sarjoo Ram,Aklavya

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(177) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1202 of 2023

Petitioner :- Lal Bahadur Vishwakarma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Education Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dilip Mishra

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(178) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1204 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anoop Kumar Tripathi And 159 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance U.P. Govt. Lucknow. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(179) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1227 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rahul Pandey And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Durga Prasad Shukla,Vivek Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,P.K. Singh Bisen,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(180) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1277 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Raj And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 5 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Surendra Kumar Mishra,Dharm Raj Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh,Vindhya Washini Kumar

with

(181) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1301 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ankit Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vivek Mishra,Durga Prasad Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ghaus Beg,Kumar Ayush,P.K. Singh Bisen,Rahul Shukla,Rajiv Singh Chuahan,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(182) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1336 of 2023

Petitioner :- Seema Kumari And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance
(Lekha) Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Naresh Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Net Ram,Ran Vijay
Singh,Sandeep Kumar Yadav

with

(183) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1421 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar Verma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Basic
Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anil Kumar Srivastava,Ambrish
Kumar Pandey,Manoj Kumar Singh,Sweta Swarankar

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran
Vijay Singh

with

(184) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1432 of 2023

Petitioner :- Arun Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance
Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(185) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1442 of 2023

Petitioner :- Nisha Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.
Basic Edu. Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Onkar Nath Singh Rathore

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Chandra Bhushan Singh
Nishad,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(186) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1491 of 2023

Petitioner :- Shalini Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance
And Account Lko. And 8 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shailendra Kumar Misra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(187) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1535 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rajendra Kumar Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Basic Education Lko.
And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(188) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1542 of 2023

Petitioner :- Abdul Fattah Muhiuddin And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education
U.P. Civil Secrett. Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Surya Kumar

Counsel for Respondent :- G.A.,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(189) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1552 of 2023

Petitioner :- Chandan Kumar Yadav And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy.
Instituional Finance Lko. And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mohd. Ateeq Khan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Jaibind Singh Rathour,Ran
Vijay Singh

with

(190) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1567 of 2023

Petitioner :- Hakim Ali And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu.
Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(191) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1573 of 2023

Petitioner :- Himanchal Dubey And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl.. Chief Secy.
Institutional Finance Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amit Mishra, Shaktipal Rajpal

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(192) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1582 of 2023

Petitioner :- Suneel Kumar Tiwari And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic
Education, Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(193) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1601 of 2023

Petitioner :- Mayapati Tripathi And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic
Education, Lko. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(194) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1611 of 2023

Petitioner :- Arun Kumar Sharma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Secy. Deptt. Basic Education
Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Deepak Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay
Singh

with

(195) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1670 of 2023

Petitioner :- Amar Nath And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Basic Education
Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar Verma, Pramod Kumar
Verma

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(196) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1702 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vishnu Prasad Verma And 6 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Prin. Secy. Basic Edu. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ran Vijay Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Rahul Shukla,Ran Vijay Singh,Uday Veer Singh

with

(197) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1707 of 2023

Petitioner :- Satish Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Kumar Tripathi,Shamsher Ali

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(198) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1772 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vijay Pratap Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Anuj Kumar Mishra,Prafulla Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(199) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1774 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vidya Dhar Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari,Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(200) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1777 of 2023

Petitioner :- Gyanendra Kumar Mishra

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Prin. Secy. Medical Health And Family Welfare Deptt. Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jitendra Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(201) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1791 of 2023

Petitioner :- Navneet Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(202) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1813 of 2023

Petitioner :- Prashant Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rajiv Singh Chuahan, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(203) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1826 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pratibha And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh

with

(204) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1831 of 2023

Petitioner :- Munish Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(205) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1843 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jyotsana Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Virendra Kumar Tripathi,Shamsher Ali

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(206) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1856 of 2023

Petitioner :- Navneet Singh And 21 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari,Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ran Vijay Singh,Shobhit Mohan Shukla

with

(207) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1896 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anwar Ahmad And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari,Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Chandra Bhushan Singh Nishad,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(208) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1899 of 2023

Petitioner :- Komal Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari,Abhishek Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay Singh

with

(209) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1900 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Veer Singh And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari,Abhishek Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Ajay Kumar,Ran Vijay

Singh

with

(210) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1910 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajeet Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance, Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Rahul Shukla, Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh

with

(211) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1913 of 2023

Petitioner :- Girendra Singh And 51 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Abhishek Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(212) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1925 of 2023

Petitioner :- Keshav Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Anuj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ghaus Beg, Kumar Ayush, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(213) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 1954 of 2023

Petitioner :- Manmohan Kishore And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Finance Lko. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shamsher Ali, Virendra Kumar Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

with

(214) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 2074 of 2023

Petitioner :- Govind Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt.

Finance Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Abhishek Tiwari, Ujjwal Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(215) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 2097 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ashok Kumar And 12 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Its Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ujjwal Tripathi, Abhishek Tiwari, Prafulla Tiwari

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(216) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 2105 of 2023

Petitioner :- Narsingh Narayan And 3 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Abhishek Tiwari, Ujjwal Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(217) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 2135 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ram Ji Mishra And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Finance Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Prafulla Tiwari, Abhishek Tiwari, Ujjwal Tripathi

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ajay Kumar, Ran Vijay Singh

with

(218) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 2368 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rahul Kumar And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy., Lko. And Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ravindra Kumar Yadava, Jitendra

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions

Vikram

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Net Ram, Ran Vijay Singh, Sandeep Kumar Yadav

with

(219) Case :- WRIT - A No. - 3125 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sunil Vishwakarma And Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. Thru. Addl. Chief Secy. Deptt. Of Basic Edu. Lko. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jay Prakash Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Ran Vijay Singh, Uday Veer Singh, Vindhya Washini Kumar

Hon'ble Om Prakash Shukla, J.

- (1)** Heard learned Counsel for the parties and perused the material brought on record.
- (2)** The issue involved in the above-captioned petitions concerns applicability of New Pension Scheme to the Assistant Teacher/ Head Master employed in Primary Schools in the State who admittedly joined after 01.04.2005. The entire controversy revolves around the notification/Government Order dated 28.03.2005, which is under challenged in the above-captioned writ petitions, issued by the State Government in relation to adoption of Defined Contribution Pension Scheme on the lines of the Government of India notification as well as consequential orders issued by the authorities of the State/Basic Education Officer concern in furtherance of the aforesaid Government Order dated 28.03.2005 for proper implementation of the

Defined Contribution Pension Scheme in place of existing Old Pension Scheme.

- (3) Apparently, by the Government Order dated 28.03.2005, the State Government has mandated that w.e.f. 1st of April, 2005, the new Defined Contribution Pension Scheme (hereinafter referred to as "New Pension Scheme") would compulsorily apply to all new recruits to the service of the State Government and of all State controlled autonomous and State-aided private educational institutions, where the existing pension scheme is patterned on the scheme for Government employees and is funded by the consolidated fund of the State Government.
- (4) In furtherance to the impugned notification dated 28.03.2005, the State Government has passed consequential order dated 16.12.2022, directing that without registration of the Permanent Retirement Account Number (PRAN) under New Pension Scheme of the Teachers after 01.04.2005, their salary may not be drawn. Pursuant to this order dated 16.12.2022, the Finance Controller, Basic Shiksha Parishad, Prayagraj, vide order dated 22.12.2022, directed all the District Basic Education Officers and Finance & Accounts Officer for ensuring the compliance of para-3 (v) of order dated 16.12.2022. Thereafter, respective consequential orders, impugned in the above-captioned writ petitions, have been passed by the Basic Education Officer and

Finance and Accounts Officers of each district of the State for implementation of the impugned order dated 16.12.2022.

- (5) Shri H.G.S. Parihar, learned Senior Advocate assisted by Shri Durga Prasad Shukla representing the petitioners has drawn attention to impugned notification dated 28.03.2005 and has argued that the New Pension Scheme has been made effective in Uttar Pradesh from 01.04.2005 vide impugned notification dated 28.03.2005, which is on the basis of a defined contribution and has two tiers i.e. Tier-I and Tier-II. According to the learned Senior Counsel, the contribution to Tier-I is mandatory for Government servant, who joined Government service on or after 01.04.2005 i.e. the date on which State Government adopted the New Pension Scheme, whereas Tier-II is optional and is at the discretion of the Government servant. Learned Counsel submits that on adoption of the New Pension Scheme by the State Government, a corresponding amendment was made in the U.P. Retirement Benefits Rules, 1961 and General Provident Fund Rules, 1985 w.e.f. 01.04.2005, however, in the said amendment, nothing has been stated about New Pension Scheme and only stated that these Rules will not be applicable to the employees who join services on or after 01.04.2005.

(6) Elaborating his submission, learned Senior Counsel representing the petitioners has argued that under the New Pension Scheme, both the employee and employer make predetermined contribution towards the scheme and the asset Managers manages the investment on the basis of their wisdom. Learned Counsel submits that in India, the downward prediction of interest rates has increased the liability of the pension providers. The contributions are invested in a predefined asset and managed by the pension fund managers. However, the Pension Fund Regulatory and Development Authority established on 23.08.2003 has forbidden any minimum guaranteed return under the New Pension Scheme. According to learned Senior Counsel, under the New Pension Scheme, the current status of economy is uncertain and requirement is there for converting the scheme to an assured pension scheme, however, the Government, through the New Pension Scheme, is using public money to help those who profit through speculation in the share market at the cost of vulnerable government employees. In this backdrop, his submission is that the fund managers in their independent wisdom make some decision which results in less accumulated money invested and consequently causes a very low pension to the ex-employees who gave their entire life to the employer and this hardship and ambiguity cannot be permitted to be done by the State, which is

a welfare State. Thus, the impugned notification dated 28.03.2005 being unreasonable, irrational and also as being defeating the very purpose and objective of the pension scheme is liable to be quashed.

(7) The next submission of the learned Senior Counsel for the petitioners is that Old Pension Scheme is applicable to the employees who joined and worked till 31.03.2005 as well as the MPs and MLAs, hence providing other persons a fixed income through pension and depriving the petitioners of the fixed assured pension is arbitrary and violative of Article 14 and 16 of the Constitution of India.

(8) Learned Senior Counsel inviting our attention to para-3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 has argued that para-3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 imposes a condition that without registration in PRAN of the Teachers appointed after 01.04.2005, their salary may not be drawn. According to the learned Senior Counsel, by the order dated 16.12.2022, the petitioners have been compelled to fill up the New Pension Scheme Form for registration in PRAN despite their disagreement for the same. He submits that New Pension Scheme is a share market based scheme, which depends upon up and down of market rates and does not assures the beneficiaries as to what will the proportion of pension to be

paid after retirement, hence the aforesaid para-v of the order dated 16.12.2022 is liable to be quashed.

- (9) *Per contra*, learned Standing Counsel representing the respondents has vehemently opposed the aforesaid submissions advanced by the learned Senior Counsel and has argued that the State Government has introduced New Pension Scheme w.e.f. 01.04.2005 vide notification dated 28.03.2005 read with 07.04.2005. In pursuance thereof, the U.P. Retirement Benefit Rules, 1961 and U.P. General Provident Fund Rules, 1985 have been amended w.e.f. 01.04.2005. The employee covered under New Pension Scheme have to compulsorily register their PRAN so that the contribution of the employee is to be deposited in the said account and financial conditions of the retired employee will be strengthened, but even after lapse of long period, some of the employees have not registered their PRAN. According to the learned Standing Counsel, admittedly, the petitioners have been appointed after 01.04.2005 and therefore, they all are covered with the New Pension Scheme and the petitioners were well aware about the existing rules with regard to the pension at the time of entry in the service. According to the learned Standing Counsel, at the time of joining of the service, these petitioners have not raised any objection. In any case, w.e.f. 01.04.2005, there was no option available to these petitioners,

as it was a condition of service that once the employee is to join a State Government Service/Service of State instrumentalities, they will be governed by the existing service condition. He argued that the petitioners have challenged the impugned notification dated 28.03.2005 after eighteen years of its notification without explaining the delay. He further argued that the other orders which have been challenged by the petitioners, are only the consequential orders implementing the notification dated 28.03.2005. Thus, the above-captioned writ petitions is liable to be dismissed.

- (10)** Learned Standing Counsel representing the respondents submits that the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 has been issued just to make it clear that the employee shall register their PRAN promptly so that data of the employee may be uploaded on the DDO portal and it was also provided in the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 that only after registration of PRAN, salary of the employee will be disbursed. According to him, several writ petitions have been filed by the Teachers challenging the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 particularly Para-3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022, in which it was provided that those employees, who have been appointed after 01.04.2005, they have to register their PRAN and without registering PRAN, salary of the employee shall not be paid.

Thereafter, the State Government, vide Government Order dated 27.01.2023, has modified the clause 3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 and it was made clear that although all efforts shall be made to register PRAN of the employee, however the salary of the employee would not be withheld on this ground. In this backdrop, it is the submission of the learned Standing Counsel that the relief claimed by the petitioners in the above-captioned writ petitions in regard to clause 3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 has become infructuous.

(11) Learned Standing Counsel has further submitted that after the retirement, employees have option to opt the options of annuity (pensionary/maturity benefits) and they can choose it as per their choice. According to him, as per the New Pension Scheme, 85% of the fund are being invested in the Government's securities, therefore, the effect of the share market is negligible. Moreso, vide Government Order dated 13.02.2019, under the New Pension Scheme, the facility to choose pension fund manager and pattern of investment has been provided to the employees/subscriber. Therefore, the plea of the petitioners in this regard has no substance.

(12) Before this Court embarks to decide these bunch of writ petitions, it would be beneficial to consider the concept of

pension as has been devolved through various precedents of the Hon'ble Apex Court. The Constitution Bench of the Apex Court in the matter of **Deokinandan Prasad v. The State of Bihar and others (1971) 2 SCC 330** has held that right to receive pension was property under Article 31(1) and Article 19(1)(f) of the Constitution of India and it was held as under:

“27. The last question to be considered, is, whether the right to receive pension by a government servant is property, so as to attract Articles 19(1)(f) and 31(1) of the Constitution. This question falls to be decided in order to consider whether the writ petition is maintainable under Article 32. To this aspect, we have already adverted to earlier and we now proceed to consider the same.

33. Having due regard to the above decisions, we are of the opinion that the right of the petitioner to receive pension is property under Article 31(1) and by a mere executive order the State had no power to withhold the same. Similarly, the said claim is also property under Article 19(1)(f) and it is not saved by sub-article (5) of Article 19. Therefore, it follows that the order, dated June 12, 1968, denying the petitioner right to receive pension affects the fundamental right of the petitioner under Articles 19(1)(f) and 31(1) of the Constitution, and as such the writ petition under Article 32 is maintainable.....”

(13) Thereafter, the Constitutional Bench of the Apex Court in the matter of **D. S. Nakara & others v. Union of India (1983) 1 SCC 305** reiterating the law laid down in **Deokinandan Prasad** (supra) held as under: -

“28. Pensions to civil employees of the Government and the defence personnel as administered in India appear to be a

compensation for service rendered in the past. However, as held in Douge v. Board of Education [302 US 74 : 83 L Ed 57] a pension is closely akin to wages in that it consists of payment provided by an employer, is paid in consideration of past service and serves the purpose of helping the recipient meet the expenses of living.....

29. Thus the pension payable to a government employee is earned by rendering long and efficient service and therefore can be said to be a deferred portion of the compensation or for service rendered.....”

(14) Further, a three-judge Bench of the Apex Court in the matter of **State of West Bengal v. Harish C. Banerjee and others** (2006)7 SCC 65, relying on Deokinandan Prasad (supra) and D. S. Nakara (supra) held that right to receive pension is a valuable right of the government servant which doesn't depend upon the sweet will and pleasure of the government and succinctly held as under : -

“4. Pension is not a bounty payable on the sweet will and the pleasure of the Government and to receive pension is a valuable right of a government servant is a well-settled legal proposition. The question in the present case, however, is not about the deprivation of the said right by the Government by an executive order but is about the constitutional validity of Rule 10(1) providing for withholding of pension or part thereof in certain cases.

5. Articles 19(1)(f) and 31(1) have been repealed by the Constitution (Forty-fourth Amendment) Act, 1978 w.e.f. 20-6-1979. The right to property is no longer a fundamental right. It is now a constitutional right, as provided in Article 300-A of the Constitution. Right to receive pension was a fundamental right at the time of framing of the Rules in 1971. The question is whether a rule framed under proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution providing for withholding of the pension would ipso facto be ultra vires,

being violative of Article 19(1)(f) as it stood in 1971 when the Rules were framed.”

(15) The nature and object of pension was summarized by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in the case of **Pepsu Road Transport Corporation, Patiala v. Mangal Singh and others (2011) 11 SCC 702**, wherein his Lordship held as under :-

“34. Pension is a retirement benefit partaking of the character of regular payment to a person in consideration of the past services rendered by him. We hasten to add that although pension is not a bounty but is claimable as a matter of right, yet the right is not absolute or unconditional. The person claiming pension must establish his entitlement to such pension in law.....

39. Pension is a periodic payment of an amount to the employee, after his retirement from service by his employer till his death. In some cases, it is also payable to the dependants of the deceased employee as a family pension. Pension is in a nature of right which an employee has earned by rendering long service to the employer. It is a deferred payment of compensation for past service. It is dependable on the condition of rendering of service by the employee for a certain fixed period of time with decent behaviour. Like CPF, the object of providing pensionary benefit under the Pension Scheme is to provide social security to the employee and his family after his retirement from the service. The Government’s/employer’s obligation under the Pension Scheme begins only when the employee retires and it continues till the death of the employee.

49. Pension is not a charity or bounty nor is it a conditional payment solely dependent on the sweet will of the employer. It is earned for rendering a long and satisfactory service. It is in the nature of deferred payment for the past services. It is a social security plan consistent with the socio-economic requirements of the Constitution when the employer is State within the meaning of Article 12 of the Constitution rendering social justice to a superannuated

government servant. It is a right attached to the office and cannot be arbitrarily denied.”

(16) This Court finds that the concept and scope of pension had been changing from being a fundamental right to constitutional right, however on each occasion, the Hon’ble Court have held that the right to pension is not absolute or unconditional. The person claiming pension must establish his entitlement to such pension as per the existing rules applicable to an employee of the Central or the State Government.

(17) Having regard to the submissions advanced by the learned Counsel for the parties and going through the record available before this Court in the above-captioned writ petition, it is required to be noted herein that undisputedly, on 01st April 2005, a New Pension Scheme was promulgated vide notification dated 28.03.2005. The petitioners have challenged the notification dated 28.03.2005 on various grounds, by saying that since the provisions made in the erstwhile Scheme were more beneficial and the New Pension Scheme were not beneficial for the petitioners, they would be entitled to claim coverage under the Old Scheme notwithstanding the fact that they ultimately came to be appointed only after 01st April, 2005 and in this view of the matter they challenged the Government Order dated 28.03.2005. For the purposes of answering the

question that is raised before this Court, the following skeletal facts may be noticed.

- (18) It would be relevant to first consider the object of the New Pension Scheme which is specifically mentioned in the notification dated 28.03.2005 issued by the State Government which states as follows :-

"State Government on 28.03.2005 has disclosed the object of new pension scheme as follows:-

The State Government, in consideration of its long-term fiscal interest and following broadly the pattern adopted by the Central Government has approved the following proposal of introducing a new defined contribution pension system in place of the existing defined benefit pension scheme for new entrances to the service of the State Government and of all State controlled autonomous institutions and State- aided private educational institutions where the existing pension scheme is patterned on the scheme of Government Employees and is funded by the consolidated fund of the State Government.

(i) From 1st of April, 2005, the new defined contribution pension system would mandatorily apply to all new recruits to the service of the State Government and of all State controlled autonomous State aided private educational institutions referred to above. However, employees covered by the existing pension scheme whose service would be of less than ten years on 1st April, 2005, may also voluntarily opt for the new pension system in place of the existing pension scheme.

(ii) Under the new defined contribution pension system, the employee would make a monthly contributor equal to 10 per cent of the salary and dearness allowance. A matching employer's contribution would be made by the State Government or by the concerned autonomous institution/ private educational institution. However, the State Government would provide

grant to the concern autonomous institution/ private educational institution for making employer/s contribution until the institution is in a position to make the contribution itself. The contribution and investment returns would be deposited in an account to be known as pension tier-I account. No withdrawal would be allowed from this account during the service period. The existing provisions of defined benefit pension and GPF would not be available to the new recruits covered by the new defined contribution pension system.

(iii) Since new recruits would not be able to subscribe to GPF, they may also have a voluntary tier-II account, in addition to the pension tier-I account. However, employer would make no contribution to tier-II account. The assets in tier-II account; would be invested/managed through exactly the same procedure of for pensioner-I account. However, the employee would be free to withdraw part or all the " second tier" of his money anytime.

(iv) Employee can normally exist tier-I of the pension system at the time of retirement. At exist the employee would be mandatorily required to invest 40 per cent of pension wealth to purchase an annuity from a recognized Insurance company so as to provide for pension for the lifetimes of the employee and his dependent parent and his spouse o at the time of retirement. The remaining pension wealth would, however, be received by the employee as a lump-sum which he would be free to utilize in any manner . In case of employee existing the pension tier-I before retirement, the mandatory annuitisation would be 80 per cent of the pension wealth.

(v) There would be several pension fund managers who would offer mainly three categories of investment options. The pension fund managers and the record keeper would jointly give out easily understood information about past performance so that the employee is able to make informed choices of the investment options.

2. The effective date for operationalization of the new pension system shall be 1st of April, 2005."

- (19)** From the aforesaid Government Order dated 28.03.2005, it is evident that the New Pension Scheme was enforced w.e.f. 01.04.2005 and it was mandatorily made applicable to all the new recruits who joined the services after 01.04.2005 with only one exception that the candidates whose service would be less than 10 years on 01.04.2005 an option had been given to them to opt for the New Pension Scheme in place of the existing Pension Scheme.
- (20)** Pursuant to the aforesaid Notification dated 28.3.2005, amendment has been introduced in U.P. Retirement Benefit Rules 1961 known as "U.P. Retirement Benefits (Amendment) Rules, 2005", by the Governor in exercise of power conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of Constitution of India. The said Rules have been made applicable w.e.f. 1.4.2005, and it has been clarified therein that Rules shall not apply to employees whether temporary or permanent entering into services on or after 1st April, 2005 in relation to the affairs of State pensionable establishment. Not only this, General Provident Fund (U.P.) Rules 1985 has also been amended by the Governor, in exercise of power conferred by the proviso to Article 309 of the Constitution of India, by means of General Provident Fund (U.P.) (Amendment) Rules, 2005, and these Rules have also been made applicable w.e.f. 1.4.2005. While

dealing with conditions of eligibility in Rule-4, a proviso has been appended mentioning therein that no government servant entering into on or after 1st April, 2005 shall subscribe to the fund from the date of joining of service.

(21) This Court deem it apt to mention that once a policy decision has been taken to enforce New Pension Scheme, contribution pension system w.e.f. 1st April, 2005 with no exception accorded to new entrants to service and the only exception that has been carved out is in reference of candidates whose service would be of less than ten years on 1st of April, 2005, wherein option has been given to them to voluntarily opt for the new pension system in place of the existing pension scheme. Thus, it is imminently clear that new entrants in service have to necessarily opt for new pension scheme, and have no escape route.

(22) In the instant case, it is undisputed that petitioners joined the service after the New Pension Scheme came into force i.e. on 01.04.2005. This Court notes that for the purpose of granting any benefit to the employee of the State, the relevant date is only the date of actual joining as at the time of joining of service, the selected candidate has accepted the terms and conditions of the appointment letter.

(23) Admittedly, entry in service of the petitioners has been made after enforcement of new pension scheme and all the petitioners had accepted the terms and conditions of the rules and regulations including pension applicable to the Assistant Teacher employed in the State of U.P. In this view of the matter, petitioners cannot insist that they should be governed under old pension scheme for the reason that New Pension Scheme is not beneficial to them. Further, this court cannot be oblivious to the fact that the petitioners after about more than 18 years from the date of issuance of the notification dated 28.03.2005, have challenged the Government Order dated 28.03.2005, claiming that New Pension Scheme is not beneficial to them and Old Pension Scheme is beneficial to them, which, in my opinion, is not correct because the New Pension Scheme enunciated by the State Government vide Government Order dated 28.03.2005 is a policy with a specific object, as mentioned hereinabove and there is no irrationality in the impugned Government Order dated 28.03.2005.

(24) Moreso, it is true that "Recruitment", "Advertisement", "Selection" and "Appointment" are different concepts under the service jurisprudence. "Recruitment" is the process of generating a pool of capable people to apply for employment in organization. Selection forms integral part of recruitment

process, wherein from amongst eligible candidates, choice is made of person or persons capable to do the job as per the requirement. The process of selection begins with the issuance of advertisement and ends with the preparation of select list for appointment. "Appointment" is made, after selection process is over, issuance of letter in favour of selected candidates, is an offer to selected candidate to accept the office or position to which he has been selected. On acceptance of the terms and conditions of appointment, the selected candidates on joining has to be accepted as appointed, and he /she would be a new entrant and based on recruitment process, petitioners cannot claim that they be brought within the scope and ambit of old pension rules in place of new pension rules. There is no dispute to the fact that process of selection was never altered and the entire selection was undertaken in accordance with the criterion which was laid down at the time of recruitment process. Therefore, assertion of the petitioners that the applicability of New Pension Scheme would amount to change in the terms and conditions of recruitment is also untenable.

(25) At this juncture, it would be relevant to add herein that in **State of Punjab v. Amar Nath Goyal** : (2005) 6 SCC 754, the Apex Court has held :-

"37. In the instant case before us, the cut-off date has been fixed as 1-4-1995 on a

very valid ground, namely, that of financial constraints. Consequently, we reject the contention that fixing of the cut-off date was arbitrary, irrational or had no rational basis or that it offends Article 14."

(26) In **State of Bihar v. Bihar Pensioners Samaj** : (2006) 5 SCC

65, the Apex Court has held :-

"17. We think that the contention is well founded. The only ground on which Article 14 has been put forward by the learned counsel for the respondent is that the fixation of the cut-off date for payment of the revised benefits under the two notifications concerned was arbitrary and it resulted in denying arrears of payments to certain Sections of the employees. This argument is no longer res integra. It has been held in a catena of judgments that fixing of a cut-off date for granting of benefits is well within the powers of the Government as long as the reasons therefor are not arbitrary and are based on some rational consideration."

(27) The Apex Court, in the case of **Sudhir Kumar Kansal Vs.**

Allahabad Bank : 2011 (2) ESC 243, has held, in the matter of grant of pension, either under the old rule or the new rule, proceeded to mention that in society governed by rule of law sympathies cannot override the Rules and Regulations, and in the said case view has been taken accordingly that appellant was not eligible to claim any benefit under Old Pension Scheme.

(28) Thus, this court is of the inevitable conclusion that once New Pension Scheme has been introduced and it has been provided

that such incumbents entering into service on or after 1st April, 2005 would be governed under the New Scheme, then, said category of incumbents, as a matter of right, cannot claim legally to be governed under the old scheme, and their claim of pension will fall within the ambit of Rules as has been introduced w.e.f. 01.04.2005.

- (29) An amendment in the Rules of 1961 was introduced in the year 2005 as per which anyone who joins services of the State after 1.4.2005 would not be entitled to any pension under the Rules of 1961. Rule 2(3) of the Rules of 1961, as amended, reads as under: -

"2(3) These Rules shall not apply to employees entering services and posts on or after April 1, 2005 in connection with the affairs of the State, borne on pensionable establishment, whether temporary or permanent."

- (30) The validity of the aforesaid rules were questioned in series of litigations instituted before this Court and a Division Bench of this Court in **State of U.P. and others vs. Dukh Haran Singh** reported in 2010 (2) AWC1882 (All) has been pleased to affirm the validity of the amendment incorporated in the Rules of 1961. The matter has travelled upto the Apex Court and the view taken by the Division Bench of this Court has been affirmed. In that view of the matter, anyone who joins in the service of the State of U.P. after 1.4.2005 would not be entitled

to benefit of Old Pension Scheme under the Rules of 1961. Since the petitioners' appointment is after the cut-off date i.e. 1.4.2005 and they have never questioned their appointment so offered, it would not be open for the petitioners to contend now that the benefit of services in the employment of State ought to be granted from a date prior to 1.4.2005. Therefore, the contention in that regard is not liable to be accepted in view of the fact that Division Bench of this Court has already taken a different view and such view has otherwise been affirmed by the Apex Court.

(31) This Court is of the considered view that the key to answer the question posed lies in the language employed by Section 2(3) of the 1961 Rules. The decisions noticed above in light of the plain language employed in Rule 2(3) hold that it is only the date on which the incumbent joins service which is relevant for the purposes of adjudging his eligibility to the benefits of the Old or the New Pension Scheme.

(32) It is manifest that the applicability of the 1961 Rules is made dependent upon an incumbent actually being recognized as having become a member of the service on or before 1st April 2005. Viewed on its plain language it must be held, as this Court does, that entry into service alone would be determinative and since that event would occur only upon the issuance of an

actual appointment letter and consequential joining it is these twin facets alone which would govern the issue of applicability of the Old or New Pension Scheme. Unless an incumbent is formally inducted into service, he cannot be viewed as having become a member thereof or a holder of a post. The expression "*entering services or posts...*" cannot be understood as referring to or hinging upon something inchoate or nebulous. Till such time as the incumbent accepts the offer of appointment and joins on the post, his position remains that of someone waiting at the threshold. It is only once he accepts the appointment, the terms and conditions stipulated therein and joins that he is ordained in service. In view of the aforesaid exposition the Court comes to conclude that the expression "*entering*" cannot be accorded any other interpretation.

- (33) The Court additionally notes that the provisions of Rule 2(3) of the 1961 Rules have not been assailed. The judgment therefore must necessarily proceed on the basis of that it is that provision alone which governs and must dictate the answer to the question posited. That Rule, as noted above, clearly refers to entry into service as being the determinative factor. None of the petitioners here are shown to have entered into service prior to 01 April 2005.

(34) Insofar as the issue of clause 3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 is concerned, in para-9 of the counter affidavit, specific stand of the State is that the State Government, vide Government Order dated 27.01.2023, has modified the Clause 3 (v) of the Government Order dated 16.12.2022 and it was made clear that efforts shall be made to register PRAN of the employee but the salary of the employee may not be withheld on that count. Thus, the submission in this regard on behalf of the petitioners has become infructuous, more so, the aforesaid Government Order dated 27.01.2023 is not under challenge in the above-captioned writ petitions.

(35) In view of the aforesaid, this Court is of the firm view that there is no illegality or infirmity in the impugned Government Orders which have been challenged in the above-captioned writ petitions. More so, the learned Counsel for the petitioners have failed to show any ambiguity or arbitrariness or unreasonableness in the impugned Government Orders.

(36) The above-captioned writ petitions are, accordingly, **dismissed** leaving it open to the parties to bear their own costs.

(Om Prakash Shukla, J.)

Order Date : - 13th December, 2023
Ajit/-

Writ- A No. - 83 of 2023 : Sheeldhar Singh and Others Vs. State of U.P. and others and connected petitions