

Court No. - 64

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 54027 of 2023

Applicant :- Meera Devi

Opposite Party :- State of U.P.

Counsel for Applicant :- A.T. Pandey

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Siddharth,J.

Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as the learned AGA for the State and perused the material placed on record.

The instant bail application has been filed on behalf of the applicant, Meera Devi, with a prayer to release him on bail in **Case Crime No. 220 of 2023, under Sections 498A,304B,201,323,506 IPC, and section 3/4 D.P. Act Police Station Sinduria, District- Maharajganj**, during pendency of trial.

There is allegation against the applicant of committing dowry death of her daughter-in-law. In the First Information Report it is alleged that marriage of the couple took place on 16.2.2020. Thereafter deceased was being harassed for bring Rs. two lacs more in dowry and was subjected to harassment. It is alleged that 11.9.2023 applicant and other family members of her matrimonial home locked her in the house and committed her murder. They tried to burn her dead body. The husband of the deceased informed the informant about her death. When informant reached there with police fire was extinguished dead body and was taken out. . In the postmortem report cause of death was not ascertained. Learned counsel for the applicant has submitted that it is alleged in the First Information Report husband of the deceased informed about the death of the deceased at her parental home, thereafter they came. Applicant has been falsely implicated in this case.

Learned AGA has vehemently opposed the prayer for bail of the applicant and placed the case diary

prepared by the investigating officer before the court. He has referred the statements of independent witnesses, Sacidanand, Ram Vijay and Jai Krishna Yadav, who stated that dispute used take place between the deceased and applicant for non-fulfillment of further demand of dowry. Deceased has left behind minor child.

After hearing the rival contention this court finds that independent witnesses have clearly made allegations against the applicant, who is mother-in-law of the deceased. The allegation has also been made against her husband and husband of the deceased.

.

This Court after hearing the learned counsels for the parties does not find any ground for enlarging the applicant on bail at this stage.

The bail application is accordingly rejected.

The court below is directed to conclude the trial against the applicant, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one year from the date of production of certified copy of this order.

Order Date :- 16.12.2023

Atul kr. sri.