Court No. - 87

Case :- APPLICATION U/S 482 No. - 45008 of 2023

Applicant :- Sanjay Shukla @ Sanjay And Another
Opposite Party :- State of U.P. and Another
Counsel for Applicant :- Mohit Behari Mathur,Indresh Kumar Singh
Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Neeraj Tiwari,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicants and learned A.G.A. for the State.

2. The present 482 Cr.P.C. application has been with the following prayer:

"Most respectfully prayed that the warrant issued against the applicants shall kept in abeyance and the Bail application of the applicants may kindly be considered on the same day considering the case crime No. 349 of 1992, P.S.-Vindhyachal, District-Mirzapur."

3. The contention of learned counsel for the applicants is that no offence against the applicants is disclosed and the present prosecution has been instituted with a malafide intention for the purpose of causing harassment. He pointed out certain documents and statements in support of his contention.

4. From the perusal of the material on record and looking into the facts of the case at this stage it cannot be said that no offence is made out against the applicants. All the submissions made at the bar, relate to the disputed questions of fact, which cannot be adjudicated upon by this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C. At this stage only prima facie case is to be seen in the light of the law laid down by Supreme Court in cases of ***R.P. Kapur Vs. State of Punjab, A.I.R. 1960 S.C. 866, State of Haryana Vs. Bhajan Lal, 1992 SCC (Cr.) 426, State of Bihar Vs. P.P.Sharma, 1992 SCC (Cr.) 192 and lastly Zandu Pharmaceutical Works Ltd. Vs. Mohd. Saraful Haq and another (Para-10) 2005 SCC (Cr.) 283***. The disputed defence of the accused cannot be considered at this stage.

5. At this stage, learned counsel for the applicants submitted that applicants are ready to surrender before the trial Court for

obtaining bail and for that some protection may be granted to them.

6. However considering the aforesaid alternative prayer made by learned counsel for the applicants, it is directed that the applicants shall surrender before the concerned court below within 30 days from today and in case they apply for bail, the bail application of the applicants shall be disposed of expeditiously by the court below in accordance with law and keeping in view the guidelines as laid down by the Apex Court in the case of ***Satender Kumar Antil vs Central Bureau of Investigation and another***, reported in 2021 SCC Online SC 922.

7. For the period of 30 days from today or till the time of surrender of the applicants before the concerned court below, whichever is earlier, applicants shall not be arrested in the above case.

8. With the above observations and directions, this application under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 11.12.2023
ADY

Digitally signed by :-
AMAR DEEP YADAV
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad