

Court No. - 32

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 41834 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ajay Kumar

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Jadu Nandan Yadav, Agnivesh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Salil Kumar Rai, J.

Hon'ble Syed Qamar Hasan Rizvi, J.

Heard the counsel for the petitioner.

The grievance of the petitioner in the present petition is that the State respondents are constructing a water tank on the *bhumidhari* Plot No. 512 of the petitioner even though the water tank was proposed on Plot No. 511.

The dispute can be decided by an inspection of the spot by the revenue authorities and, therefore, the writ petition is disposed of with the liberty to the petitioner to file a representation before the District Magistrate, District Etah bringing all the necessary facts to his notice who on receipt of the said representation shall decide the same, in accordance with law, within a period of one month from the date of receiving the representation. If necessary, the District Magistrate shall get the plot demarcated and inspected.

With the aforesaid direction, the writ petition is ***disposed of***.

Order Date :- 12.12.2023

Satyam