

Court No. - 6

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 41822 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Anamika Tiwari

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinod Kr Pandey, Abhineet Shukla

Counsel for Respondent :- CSC, Arvind Kumar

Hon'ble Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan, J.

Heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondent and Mr. Arvind Kumar, learned counsel for respondent no. 4.

This writ petition has been filed with a prayer to direct the Motor Accident Claim Tribunal, Prayagraj to decide the Claim Petition No. 482 of 2021 (Smt. Anamika Tiwari Vs. Vinay Kumar Mukherji and others) pending before him within stipulated period.

It has been stated by the learned counsel for the petitioner that a claim petition has been filed by the petitioner which is at the stage of evidence. Therefore, a direction may be issued to decide the same within stipulated period.

The learned Standing Counsel has no objection to the submission made by the counsel for the petitioner.

No useful purpose would be served by keeping this petition pending in view of the order, which the Court proposes to pass hereunder.

Without entering into the controversy involved in the writ petition, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to Motor Accident Claim Tribunal, Prayagraj to decide the Claim Petition No. 482 of 2021 (Smt. Anamika Tiwari Vs. Vinay Kumar Mukherji and others), in accordance with law, after giving opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned, by a speaking and reasoned order, expeditiously, preferably within a period of six months from the date of producing a certified copy of this order before the concerned authority, if there is no other legal impediment.

It is made clear that this Court has not examined the merits of the claim of the petitioner and the authority concerned shall apply its own mind strictly in accordance with law.

Order Date :- 5.12.2023

Arti