

Court No. - 39

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 22166 of 2022

Petitioner :- Union Of India Through Secretary And 2 Others

Respondent :- Niketan And Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Krishna Agarawal, Sr. Advocate

Counsel for Respondent :- Pradeep Kumar Mishra, Pramod Kumar Pandey

Hon'ble Saumitra Dayal Singh, J.

Hon'ble Shiv Shanker Prasad, J.

Ref.: Civil Misc. Delay Condonation Application

1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner-Union of India and Mr. P.K. Pandey and Mr. Pradeep Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.
2. Cause shown for the delay in filing of the Substitution Application is to the satisfaction of the Court.
3. The delay is condoned.
4. This application stands allowed.
5. Civil Misc. Substitution Application be treated to be within time.

Ref.: Civil Misc. Substitution Application

1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner-Union of India and Mr. P.K. Pandey and Mr. Pradeep Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.
2. This is an application for substituting the legal heirs of respondent no.1, namely, Sudhir Kumar and Kirti Kumari as respondent no.1/1 and respondent no.1/2.
3. Since no objection has been raised by the learned counsel for the respondents, this application is deemed to be allowed.
4. Necessary substitutions are also deemed to have been carried out.

Ref.: - MEMO OF PETITION

1. Heard Mr. Krishna Agarwal, learned counsel for the petitioner-Union of India and Mr. P.K. Pandey and Mr. Pradeep Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for the respondents.

2. The facts and dispute raised in the present writ petition are more or less identical to that of Writ-A No. - 22170 of 2022 (Union Of India And 2 Others Vs. Jawahar Lal And 30 Others), which has been disposed of by a separate order passed today.

3. For the reasons recorded in the order passed in Writ-A No. - 22170 of 2022, this writ petition is also disposed of on the same terms.

(Shiv Shanker Prasad, J.)

(Saumitra Dayal Singh,
J.)

Order Date :- 30.11.2023

Sushil/-