

Court No. - 47

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 40118 of 2023

Petitioner :- Chintamani

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Raj Kumar Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.,Azad Rai

Hon'ble Manish Kumar Nigam,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
2. The instant writ petition has been filed praying for a mandamus directing respondent No.2 - UP Ziladhikari, Handia, Prayagraj, to decide the restoration/recall application dated 5.1.2023 bearing Case No.RST/296/2023 being Computerized Case No.T202302030400296 filed in Case No.5555 of 2018 being Computerized Case No.T201802030405555 (Sant Lal vs. Chintamani and others), under Section 24 of U.P. Revenue Code, within stipulated period.
3. Contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that against the order dated 29.10.2022, a restoration application was filed by the petitioner on 5.1.2023 in proceedings u/s 24 of the U.P. Revenue Code, 2006. He prayed that the same may be decided expeditiously.
4. No useful purpose would be served in keeping this petition pending.
5. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, the present petition stands **disposed of** finally with a direction to respondent No.2 - UP Ziladhikari, Handia, Prayagraj, to consider and decide the aforesaid restoration application, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of **three months** from the date of production of a certified copy of this order after giving opportunity of hearing to the parties concerned and without granting unnecessary adjournments to either of the parties provided there is no other legal impediment.

Order Date :- 22.11.2023

Rishabh

[Manish Kumar Nigam, J.]