

Court No. - 5

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 40027 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sri Ram Narayan

Respondent :- State of U.P. and Another

Counsel for Petitioner :- Rituvendra Singh Nagvanshi, Narayan Dutt Shukla, Sr. Advocate

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Piyush Agrawal, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that objections filed under Section 11(2) of the U.P. Imposition of Ceiling on Land Holdings Act, 1960, by the petitioner, have not been decided till date, thus, a direction may be issued to decide the same within some stipulated period.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner, learned Standing Counsel and perused the record.

In view of the order proposed to be passed there is no need to call counter affidavit, in terms of the Rules of the Court.

Taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, a direction is issued upon respondent no. 2 to consider and decide the objections of the petitioner and pass final orders, in accordance with law, expeditiously, preferably within a period of two months from the date of production of a certified copy of this order.

Till the final decision is taken in the matter, the respondents shall not interfere in the peaceful possession of the petitioner.

The writ petition is, accordingly, disposed of.

No order as to costs.

Order Date :- 30.11.2023

Amit Mishra