

Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 39713 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vidyavati

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vinod Kumar Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C, Rameshwar Prasad Shukla

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava, J.

1. Heard Sri Vinod Kumar Yadav, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.
2. After some arguments, learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, Appeal No. 41/A Computerized Case No. C202215000000773 preferred by respondent no. 4 under Section 24(4) of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 is considered and decided in a time bound manner.
3. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and an appropriate direction may be issued to consider the grievance of the petitioner.
4. Be that as it may, without entering into the merits, Appeal No. 41/A Computerized Case No. C202215000000773 preferred by respondent no. 4 under Section 24(4) of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 is directed to be considered and decided as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of nine months from the date of production of a certified copy of this order, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties, provided there is no any legal impediment.
5. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is disposed of.

Order Date :- 20.11.2023

P.Sri.