

Court No. - 72

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 48443 of 2023

Applicant :- Raju Yadav @ Raju Ranjan Yadav And Another

Opposite Party :- State of U.P.

Counsel for Applicant :- Ashok Kumar Maurya

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Krishan Pahal,J.

1. List has been revised.
2. Heard Sri Ashok Kumar Maurya, learned counsel for the applicants, Sri Amit Kumar, learned A.G.A. for the State and perused the material placed on record.
3. Applicants seek bail in Case Crime (FIR) No.0249 of 2022, under Sections 147, 323, 308, 504 and 506 I.P.C., Police Station Bansdih Road, District Ballia, during the pendency of trial.
4. It is submitted by learned counsel for the applicants that similarly placed co-accused person Rohit Yadav has already been enlarged on bail by this Court vide order dated 31.07.2023 passed in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No.33379 of 2023. There is no criminal history of the applicants. The applicants are languishing in jail since 17.10.2023. He further submitted that since the roles of the applicants are identical to that of co-accused who has already been enlarged on bail, they are also entitled to be enlarged on bail on the ground of parity.
5. The prayer for bail has been vehemently opposed by learned A.G.A. However, the aforesaid factual aspect of the parity to the co-accused has not been disputed by him.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the evidence on record, pending trial and in light of the judgement passed by this Court in ***Nanha S/o Nabhan Kha vs. State of U.P., 1993 Crl.L.J. 938*** and the judgement passed by the Apex Court in ***Paras Ram Vishnoi vs. The Director, Central Bureau of Investigation, MANU/SCOR/22410/2021***, without expressing any opinion on the

merits of the case, this Court is of the view that the applicants have made out a case for grant of bail on the ground of parity. The bail application is **allowed** on the ground of parity.

7. Let the applicants- **Raju Yadav @ Raju Ranjan Yadav** and **Ajeet Kumar**, who are involved in aforementioned case crime be released on bail on their furnishing a personal bond and two sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of the court concerned subject to following conditions. Further, before issuing the release order, the sureties be verified.

(i) The applicants shall not tamper with evidence.

(ii) The applicants shall remain present, in person, before the Trial Court on dates fixed for (1) opening of the case, (2) framing of charge and (3) recording of statement under Section 313 Cr.P.C. If in the opinion of the Trial Court absence of the applicant is deliberate or without sufficient cause, then it shall be open for the Trial Court to treat such default as abuse of liberty of bail and proceed against them in accordance with law.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, it shall be a ground for cancellation of bail.

9. It is made clear that observations made in granting bail to the applicants shall not in any way affect the learned trial Judge in forming their independent opinion based on the testimony of the witnesses.

Order Date :- 9.11.2023

Ravi Kant

(Krishan Pahal, J.)