

Court No. - 9

Case :- CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 8433 of 2019

Applicant :- Dinesh Singh Sajwan

Opposite Party :- Sri Vijay Kumar Singh, Chairman

Counsel for Applicant :- Rajesh Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Arvind Kumar Goswami

Hon'ble Rohit Ranjan Agarwal,J.

List revised. No one is present on behalf of applicant to press the application. Sri Arvind Kumar Goswami, learned counsel for the opposite party is present.

The writ Court on 22.10.20218 while disposing of Writ-A No. 50428 of 2014 had passed the following order:-

"After some argument, counsel for the petitioner prayed for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to the petitioner to approach the State Advisory Committee constituted by the Central Government under Section 76 of the U.P. Reorganisation Act, 2000 with request to transfer the petitioner to the State of Uttarakhand.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty in terms of prayer made.

In case any such representation is made before the competent authority, needless to say, that it shall be decided expeditiously in accordance with law."

From perusal of the order, it is clear that the writ Court had required the opposite party to decide the representation of the applicant. In para no. 7 of compliance affidavit, it has been stated that order of writ Court has been complied with and representation has been decided on 17.02.2020.

In view of said fact, as the order of writ Court has been complied with, the contempt application is rendered infructuous and the same stands dismissed.

Contempt notice stands discharged.

Order Date :- 16.11.2023

V.S.Singh