

Court No. - 44

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 48261 of 2023

Applicant :- Smt. Doli

Opposite Party :- State of U.P.

Counsel for Applicant :- Radhey Shyam Yadav

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Pankaj Bhatia,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the applicant as well as learned A.G.A. and perused the record.

2. In terms of the FIR, it was alleged that it was alleged that one Govinda had got executed two sale deed executed by impersonation. As against the applicant, it is alleged that she had impersonated on behalf of the owner of the property, who is also the informant.

3. The Counsel for the applicant argues that Govinda as well as the informant has filed a separate suit and a compromise is also taken place in between the parties.

4. Learned A.G.A. vehemently opposed the bail prayer.

5. Considering the fact that the litigation has been settled in terms of the compromise of the applicant and the applicant is a lady and has no criminal antecedents, I am of the view that the applicant is entitled to be released on bail. Accordingly, the bail application is ***allowed.***

6. Let the applicant ***Smt. Doli*** be released on bail in FIR/ Case Crime No.163 of 2023, under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471, 506 IPC, Police Station Kotwali Kayamganj, District Farrukhabad on her furnishing personal bonds and two reliable sureties of Rs.25,000/- each to the satisfaction of the court concerned with the following conditions:

(a) The applicant shall execute a bond to undertake to attend the hearings;

(b) The applicant shall not commit any offence similar to the

offence of which she is accused or suspected of the commission;
and

(c) The applicant shall not directly or indirectly make any inducement, threat or promise to any person acquainted with the facts of the case so as to dissuade him from disclosing such facts to the Court or to any police officer or tamper with the evidence.

Order Date :- 8.11.2023

akverma