Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 38316 of 2023

Petitioner :- Vinay Kumar
Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 4 Others
Counsel for Petitioner :- Devendra Kumar
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava,J.

1. Heard Sri Devendra Kumar, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction in the nature of mandamus to be issued in favour of the respondent no.2 to decide Case No. 1079/2023, Computerized Case No. T202315510201079 (Nandu & others vs. Ramsonchr), under Section 34 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, the aforesaid case is considered and decided in a time bound manner by respondent no. 2.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and an appropriate direction may be issued to respondent no.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner.

5. Be that as it may, without entering into the merits of the case as well as if there is no other legal impediment available, the respondent no.2 is hereby directed to consider and decide Case No. 1079/2023, Computerized Case No. T202315510201079 (Nandu & others vs. Ramsonchr), under Section 34 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of nine months from the date of production of certified copy of this order, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to all the parties.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands **disposed of.**

Order Date :- 7.11.2023
Shaswat

Digitally signed by :-
SHASWAT SINGH
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad