

Court No. - 34

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 18590 of 2023

Petitioner :- Pradeep Kumar Pal 11 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Vijay Kumar Pal, Yatindra

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Siddharth Singhal

Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.

Heard Sri Yatindra, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri Siddharth Singhal, learned counsel for respondent no. 2 and learned Standing Counsel for State.

Petitioners are aggrieved for the simple reason that while the initial cut off marks was 54 in the OBC category, at the time of final selection the last cut off it jumped to 80.50, which according to him inconceivable.

The argument advanced is that on the basis of pleadings raised in para 22 apprehending that the Commission has not acted fairly. Except what has been stated in para 22 there is no pleading to suggest that the petitioner has a concrete information regarding unfair practice on the part of selecting authority. However, in order to have transparency as far as the petitioner is concerned, this petition stands **disposed of** at this stage with a direction that the petitioner shall be supplied the marks obtained by him in the written examination and also of the last candidate selected in OBC category provided petitioner moves an appropriate application within two weeks from today.

Order Date :- 6.11.2023

IrfanUddin