

Court No. - 51

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 39164 of 2023

Petitioner :- Hriday Narayan

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ali Hasan, Aditya Vikram

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Bhupendra Kumar Tripathi

Hon'ble Chandra Kumar Rai, J.

1. The instant writ petition has been filed for the following reliefs:-

" (a) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents do not to interfere in the peaceful occupation/possession of the petitioners over the Gata No. 43 and 331 situated at Villlage- Tafurpur, Post- Jahurabad, tehsil- Kasimabad, District- Ghazipur.

(b) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and decide the representation of the petitioners expeditiously as possible as within short stipulated period.

(c) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents do not to interfere in the peaceful occupation/possession of the petitioners over the Gata No. 43 and 331 situated at Village- Tafurpur, Post- Jahurabad, tehsil- Kasimabad, District- Ghazipur.

(d) Issue any other writ, order or direction which this Hon'ble Court may deem fit and proper in the circumstances of the case.

(e) Award the cost of the writ petition in favour of the above named petitioners."

2. The perusal of the averments made in the writ petition as well as in the representation dated 26.9.2023, which is annexed as Annexure No.6 to the writ petition, it reveals that the matter relates to correction of the entry as well as demarcation of the plot in dispute.

3. The prayer made in the writ petition as well as in the representation cannot be granted in exercise of jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.

4. The writ petition is misconceived and is, accordingly, dismissed.

5. Sri Bhupendra Kumar Tripathi, learned counsel for the respondent-gaon sabha and Sri Abhishek Shukla, learned Addl. C.S.C. for the state-respondents are present.

Order Date :- 6.12.2023/C.Prakash