

Court No. - 9

Case :- CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 7289 of 2022

Applicant :- Sushil Kumar Sharma

Opposite Party :- Shri Anil Garg, I.A.S. And 7 Others

Counsel for Applicant :- Ved Prakash Shukla, Tushar Shukla

Hon'ble Rohit Ranjan Agarwal, J.

Personal affidavit of opposite party no. 3 has been filed wherein in para nos. 9, 10 and 11, it has been stated that pursuant to order of this Court dated 27.09.2023, five member committee had redetermined the arrears of salary of the applicant which was determined to the tune of Rs.23,83,899/- on 18.10.2023. Thereafter, the difference of the earlier amount and the amount redetermined by Committee i.e. Rs.3,40,899/- was directed to be paid into the account of the applicant by Executive Engineer, Irrigation Construction Division-II, Jhansi.

Learned counsel for the applicant does not dispute that difference of arrears of salary has been paid into the account of the applicant. Learned counsel for the applicant raised certain disputed facts as to the amount so quantified by opposite party.

As there is dispute regarding the fixation, the same cannot be gone into contempt jurisdiction under Section 12 of Contempt of Courts Act.

In view of said fact, as the order of writ Court has been substantially complied with, the contempt application is rendered infructuous and the same stands dismissed.

Contempt notice stands discharged.

However, leaving it open to the applicant to approach the appropriate forum for redressal of his grievance.

Order Date :- 9.11.2023

V.S.Singh