

Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 37898 of 2023

Petitioner :- Tilakdhari Yadav And 3 Others

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 21 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Bhagwan Singh Yadav

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Bhupendra Kumar Tripathi

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava, J.

1. Heard Sri Bhagwan Singh Yadav, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction in the nature of mandamus to be issued in favour of the respondent no.2 to decide Case No. 2391/2023, Computerized Case No. T202314290502391 (Tilakdhari and others vs. Phool Chand and others), under Section 21/24 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006 expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, the aforesaid case is considered and decided in a time bound manner by respondent no. 2.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and an appropriate direction may be issued to respondent no.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner.

5. Be that as it may, without entering into the merits of the case as well as if there is no other legal impediment available, the respondent no.2 is hereby directed to consider and decide Case No. 2391/2023, Computerized Case No. T202314290502391 (Tilakdhari and others vs. Phool Chand and others), under Section 21/24 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of nine months from the date of production of certified copy of this order, after affording proper opportunity of hearing to all the parties.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition stands **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 2.11.2023

Shaswat