

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 36985 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ravish Kumar Singh

Respondent :- Union Of India And 4 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Chandra Srivastava, Dhirendra Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I., Devi Shanker Shukla, Komal Mehrotra

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.

Hon'ble Ms. Nand Prabha Shukla, J.

1. Heard Sri Ram Chandra Srivastava, learned counsel for the petitioner, Sri D.K. Chaturvedi, learned counsel for respondent nos. 1 and 2, Sri Anand Tewari, learned counsel for respondent no. 3, Sri Devi Shanker Shukla, learned counsel for the respondent no. 4 and Sri Komal Mehrotra, learned counsel appearing for respondent no. 5.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents have raised preliminary objection regarding maintainability of the writ petition on the ground that the petitioner has no locus to challenge the validity of the impugned advertisement for selection of Retail Outlet Dealership and the same is liable to be dismissed.

3. By means of present writ petition the petitioner has sought following reliefs:-

"(i) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of certiorari quashing and setting aside the advertisements dated 28.6.2023 as issued by respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5 and any proceedings pursuant hereto.

(ii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and directing the respondents to publish advertise after following the reservation process, in accordance with law, within some stipulated time frame as fixed by this Hon'ble Court.

(iii) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus restraining the respondent nos. 3, 4 and 5 from taking any further action in furtherance of the advertisements dated 28.6.2023.

(iv) Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent authorities to comply by the prevailing laws before initiating the process for establishment of any new retail outlets in the State."

4. After substantial argument, once the Court has declined to entertain the writ petition then learned counsel for the petitioner states that he is not inclined to press the present writ petition and the same may be dismissed as not pressed.

5. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed.

Order Date :- 28.10.2023

Puspendra