

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 37728 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anveesh Kumar

Respondent :- The State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Mahesh Prasad Vishwakarma, Rakesh Kumar Maurya

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.

1. Heard learned Counsel for the petitioner and Sri Devansh Rathore, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State respondents.
2. In pith and substance the grievance of the petitioner is that some villagers are trying to take illegal possession over his land. In this regard he has moved a representation before the second respondent, which has not been processed till now.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has confined his prayer that his representation may be considered by the competent authority.
4. Learned Standing Counsel states that in case, the petitioner files an appropriate application before the competent authority ventilating his grievance, the same would be considered as per law.
5. No useful purpose will be served in calling for the counter affidavit and keeping the writ petition pending.
6. Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without going into its merit, the writ petition is disposed of permitting the petitioner to file a fresh application before the Sub-Division Magistrate concerned within a period of one week from today ventilating his grievance. In case, any such application is filed, we hope and trust that the same may be processed by the third respondent expeditiously, as per law, preferably within a period of next four weeks but certainly after affording opportunity to all Stakeholders.

Order Date :- 1.11.2023

S.P.