

**Court No. - 34**

**Case :-** WRIT - A No. - 17739 of 2023

**Petitioner :-** Rajendra Rajbhar @ Rajendra Ram Bahal

**Respondent :-** State Of U.P. And 4 Others

**Counsel for Petitioner :-** Sheo Prasad Yadav, Ram Sakal Yadav

**Counsel for Respondent :-** C.S.C.

**Hon'ble Ajit Kumar, J.**

1. Heard learned counsel for the parties.
2. Petitioner has approached this Court for a writ of mandamus to the respondents to hold enquiry into legality of appointment of respondent no.5.
3. Learned Standing Counsel for the State submits that the petitioner is neither the employee of the respondent establishment, nor was the applicant against the post in question and therefore, he does not have any stake in the matter. All that he wants is that his complaint may be examined.
4. In the considered view of the Court no third party claim can be directed to be examined in the matter of contract of employment between employer and employee, nor any Public Interest Litigation is maintainable in service matters.
5. Petition lacks merit and is, accordingly, ***dismissed*** on the ground of *locus standi*.

**Order Date :-** 19.10.2023

Deepika