

Court No. - 6

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 36730 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjay Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Brijendra Kumar, Birendra Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C

Hon'ble Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan, J.

Heard Ms. Swati Singh, Advocate holding brief of Mr. Birendra Singh, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the respondents-State.

This writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with a prayer to direct the respondents especially respondent no. 1 Secretary (Panchayat Raj) to forthwith take appropriate decision on the registered application dated 04.09.2023 sent by the petitioner as provided under Right to Information Act for violation of Section 11(1) and 8(1)(J) of Right to Information Act, 2005 and punish the responsible authorities for their criminal act who have given information/document relating to the trade and commercial activities of the petitioner to proxy person namely Mahendra Pratap son of Ganga Ram related to present Chairman of Zila Panchayat Fatehpur.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the personal information of the petitioner has been provided which is in violation of Section 11(1) and 8(1)(J) of Right to Information Act 2005, therefore, a complaint has been made before competent authority i.e. respondent no. 2 to do the needful for redressal of grievance of the petitioner but nothing has been done till date. Therefore, a direction may be issued to respondent no.2 to take appropriate decision on the registered application dated 04.09.2023 within stipulated period.

Learned Standing Counsel has no objection to the aforesaid submission.

In view of the aforesaid, no useful purpose will be served to keep this writ petition pending and calling for a counter affidavit.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case, without

expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, this writ petition is finally disposed of with a direction to the respondent no.2 to decide the complaint of the petitioner, in accordance with law, by a reasoned and speaking order, expeditiously preferably within a period of two months from the date of production of certified copy of this order, if there is no legal impediment.

It is made clear that this Court has not examined the merits of the case of the petitioner and the authority concerned shall apply its own mind strictly in accordance with law.

Order Date :- 29.11.2023

Arti