

Court No. - 74

Case :- CRIMINAL MISC. BAIL APPLICATION No. - 43750 of 2023

Applicant :- Salman @ Michchu

Opposite Party :- State of U.P.

Counsel for Applicant :- Alok Kumar Singh

Counsel for Opposite Party :- G.A.

Hon'ble Vivek Varma,J.

1. Supplementary affidavit filed by learned counsel for the applicant is taken on record.
2. Heard learned counsel for the applicant and learned A.G.A. for the State-opposite party.
3. The instant bail application under Section 439 Cr.P.C. has been filed with the prayer to enlarge the applicant on bail in Case Crime No. 0318 of 2023, under Sections 380, 411, 457 I.P.C., Police Station Crossing Republic, District Ghaziabad during the pendency of the trial.
4. Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the applicant was not named in the first information report. The applicant was arrested in Case Crime No. 321 of 2023, under Sections 3/25 Arms Act, Police Station Crossing Republic, Commissionerate Ghaziabad and has been falsely implicated in the instant case. False recovery of a ladies purse, ornaments of white metal, a country made pistol, 5 cartridges and a copy of Aadhar card has been shown from the applicant. There is no independent witness to the alleged recovery. The recovered currency of Rs.1,000/- belongs to the applicant. It is next contended that identically placed co-accused Chand has been granted bail by this Court in Criminal Misc. Bail Application No. 43448 of 2023, Chand v. State of U.P., vide order dated 30.10.2023. The applicant claims parity. The criminal history of the applicant has been explained in Paragraph-13 of the affidavit. The applicant is in jail since 09.08.2023 and if he is released on bail, he will not misuse the said liberty.
5. Learned A.G.A. has opposed the prayer for bail but could not satisfactorily dispute the aforesaid submissions from the record.

6. Having heard learned counsel for the parties and after perusal of the record, this Court prima facie finds that the applicant was not named in the first information report and there is no independent witness to the alleged recovery. Identically placed co-accused Chand has been granted bail by this Court. Moreover, the applicant has remained confined for almost two and half months and after submission of the charge-sheet there is no hope of early conclusion of trial, and no reasonable apprehension has been brought to the fore by the State that the applicant, if enlarged on bail, would either tamper with the evidence or delay the trial or intimidate the witness, therefore, without commenting on the merits of the case, I am of the opinion that the applicant is entitled to be enlarged on bail.

7. Let the applicant- Salman @ Michchu, involved in the aforesaid case, be released on bail on his furnishing a personal bond and two heavy sureties each in the like amount to the satisfaction of Court concerned subject to the conditions that he:

- (i) shall appear on the date fixed by the trial court;
- (ii) shall not tamper with the prosecution evidence;
- (iii) shall not pressurize the prosecution witnesses; and,
- (iv) shall appear on the first Sunday of every month at the police station concerned.

8. In case of breach of any of the above conditions, the prosecution shall be at liberty to move bail cancellation application before this Court.

Order Date :- 2.11.2023

SKT/-