

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 36372 of 2023

Petitioner :- Anuradha

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ram Mohan

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi,J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar,J.

1. The present writ petition has been preferred by the petitioner against the respondent no.3, who is her father claiming certain rights.
2. Learned Standing Counsel has raised an objection regarding maintainability of writ petition on the ground that the disputed question of facts are involved in this matter between the private parties, which cannot be adjudicated in writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India.
3. After substantial arguments once the Court has declined to entertain the writ petition, learned counsel for the petitioner fairly states that he is not inclined to press this writ petition and the same may be dismissed as not pressed, however, leave may be accorded to the petitioner to press the relief before appropriate Court/authority.
4. Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as not pressed with the aforesaid leave.

Order Date :- 31.10.2023

S.P.