

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 36012 of 2023

Petitioner :- Jairam Singh

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 6 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Shibli Naseem

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi,J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar,J.

1. Heard Shri Shibli Naseem, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel appearing for the State respondents.

2. The present petition has been preferred for a direction in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent no. 2 to decide the representation dated 23.08.2023 expeditiously, within stipulated time.

3. In pith and substance, the grievance of the petitioner is that private respondent who are arrayed as respondent nos. 5 to 7 have been encroached upon the public utility land (canal land). The petitioner is asking that his representation dated 23.08.2023 addressed to the authority concerned for redressal of his grievance may be decided expeditiously.

4. Learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel very fairly submits that, in case, any such representation is pending, the same would be decided by the concerned authority.

5. Considering the facts and circumstances and with the consent of the learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition stands disposed of asking the petitioner to move a fresh representation under Section 67 of the Uttar Pradesh Revenue Code, 2006 before the authority concerned within a week's time, in case, any such representation is moved, the same shall be decided and considered by the authority concerned within six weeks from the date of production of certified copy of this order, but certainly after giving an opportunity to all the stakeholders in the matter.

Order Date :- 17.10.2023

Rama Kant