

Court No. - 21

Case :- PUBLIC INTEREST LITIGATION (PIL) No. - 2323 of 2023

Petitioner :- Rohan Lal Gupta

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 9 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Krishna Mohan Garg

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C., Anuj Pratap Singh, Arvind Srivastava

Hon'ble Manoj Kumar Gupta, J.

Hon'ble Donadi Ramesh, J.

1. Heard Sri Krishna Mohan Garg, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Anil Kumar Pandey, holding brief of Sri Arvind Srivastava, learned counsel for respondent nos. 7 to 10.

2. Though the petition is ostensibly filed in the public interest, the petitioner has not made due disclosure as required by sub-rule (3A) of Rule 1 of Chapter XXII of the Allahabad High Court Rules, 1952 which was amended in view of the judgement of the Supreme Court in **State of Uttaranchal vs. Balwant Singh Chaufal and others**, reported in **2010 AIR SCW 1029**.

3. Hence, we decline to entertain the petition since we are not satisfied in regard to the bona fides and credentials of the petitioner.

4. The petition is, accordingly, dismissed with liberty to file a fresh petition after making required disclosures, if so advised. There shall be no order as to costs.

(Donadi Ramesh, J.)

(Manoj Kumar Gupta, J.)

Order Date :- 20.11.2023

Noman