

Court No. - 35

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 17180 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sangeeta Mishra

Respondent :- Union Of India And 2 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ashutosh Mishra, Manoj Kumar Mishra

Counsel for Respondent :- A.S.G.I., Ugrasen Kumar
Pandey, Varun Mishra

Hon'ble Vikas Budhwar, J.

1. Heard Shri Manoj Kumar Mishra along with Shri Ashutosh Mishra, learned counsel for the writ petitioner, notice on behalf of first respondent accepted by learned ASGI and Shri U.K. Pandey, learned counsel for respondent Nos. 2 and 3.
2. The case of the writ petitioner is that the impugned advertisement dated 10.06.2023 being advertisement No. 08 of 2023 notifying the vacancies is per se illegal as only 15 days' time was accorded to the applicants to apply and further it was not in the widely circulated newspapers.
3. Shri Pandey who appears for the respondent Nos. 2 and 3 submits that the advertisement which is subject matter of challenge was issued in the light of the earlier advertisement No. 06 of 2023 wherein those who had submitted applications against application No. 06 of 2023 were not to apply again. He submits that as per the page 15 of the writ petition, the advertisement was not only advertised in employment news English but also in the official website. He submits that in case the writ petitioner and his grievance and he can obviously approach the Director of Kendriya Hindi Sansthan, Hindi Sansthan Marg, Agra.
4. To such a submission, learned counsel for the petitioner has no objection and he gracefully accepts the same.
5. Considering the submissions of the rival parties as well as stand taken by them, the writ petition is being disposed off without seeking any response from the respondents granting liberty to the writ petitioner to prefer a comprehensive representation along with the self-attested copy of the writ petition before the third respondent, Director of Kendriya Hindi Sansthan, Hindi Sansthan Marg, Agra who shall on the receipt of the same, proceed to decide

the claim of the petitioner strictly in accordance with law within a period of one month from the date of presentation of the certified copy of the order.

6. Needless to point out that the writ petition has been decided without seeking any response from the respondents. Thus, passing of this order may not be construed to an expression that this Court has gone into the merits of the case.

7. With the aforesaid observation, the writ petition is **disposed off**.

Order Date :- 13.12.2023

A. Prajapati