

Court No. - 35

Case :- WRIT - A No. - 16723 of 2023

Petitioner :- Smt. Renu Patel

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Ramesh Kumar Panday

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C

Hon'ble Vikas Budhwar,J.

On the oral request of learned counsel for the writ petitioner, he is permitted to implead "Rajkiya Balika Uchchatar Madhyamik Vidyalay, Bhikhaipur, Ghazipur" and "Rajkiya Uchchatar Madhyamik Vidyalay Ugapur, Madiyahun, Jaunpur" as Respondent Nos. 5 and 6.

Heard Sri Ramesh Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Shailendra Singh, the learned Standing Counsel, who appears for Respondents 1 to 4.

The case of the writ petitioner is that he possesses the relevant qualification of B.A., B.Ed. and M.A. and he was appointed as Assistant Teacher in fifth respondent-Institution on 22.09.2011, pursuant to the appointment order dated 27.08.2011 and he got transferred to the sixth respondent Institution 21.09.2015. The husband of the writ petitioner claims to have submitted a complaint regarding forged certificate, pursuant where to the writ petitioner alleges that the salary has been stopped from the year 2023. According to the writ petitioner, he possesses verifiable and valid certificates.

Prayer in the present petition is for a direction to the second respondent, Addl. Director Education (Government Intermediate), Directorate, Prayagraj, U.P. to take appropriate decision regarding payment of salary.

Sri Saurabh, learned Standing Counsel submits that the issue which the writ petitioner seeks to raise needs determination by the second respondent and he does not propose to file any response to the writ petition.

To such a submission, learned counsel for the writ petitioner has no objection and he gracefully accepts the same.

Considering the submission of the rival parties as well as the stand

taken by them, the writ petition is being disposed off without seeking any response from the respondents granting liberty to the writ petitioner to approach the second respondent, along with a comprehensive representation accompanied with self-attested copy of the writ petition, who shall, on the receipt of the same, put to notice the fifth and sixth respondents and thereafter proceed to consider the claim of the writ petitioner within a period of two months from the date of production of certified copy of the order.

Needless to point out that the writ petitioner has been decided without seeking any response from the respondents, thus passing of this order may not be construed to an expression that this Court has adjudicated the matter on merits.

With the aforesaid observations the writ petition stands **disposed off**.

Order Date :- 20.11.2023

N.S.Rathour