Court No. - 52

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 34964 of 2023

Petitioner :- Sanjay Kumar
Respondent :- State of U.P. and Another
Counsel for Petitioner :- Lakshmi Kant Pandey,Sunil Kumar Pandey
Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Saurabh Srivastava,J.

1. Heard Sri Sunil Kumar Pandey, learned counsel for petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents no.1 and 2.

2. Present writ petition has been filed seeking a direction in the nature of mandamus to be issued in favour of the respondent no.2 to decide Case No.nil of 2022 (Sanjay Kumar Vs. State of U.P.), under Section 30 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, expeditiously.

3. Learned counsel for petitioner submits that grievance of petitioner would sufficiently be met in case, the aforesaid matter is considered and decided in a time bound manner.

4. Learned Standing Counsel submits that no useful purpose would be served in keeping the writ petition pending and an appropriate direction may be issued to respondent no.2 to consider the grievance of the petitioner.

5. Be that as it may, without entering into the merits of the case as well as if there is no other legal impediment available, the respondent no.2 is hereby directed to consider and decide the Case No.nil of 2022 (Sanjay Kumar Vs. State of U.P.), under Section 30 of U.P. Revenue Code, 2006, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of nine months from the date a certified copy of this order is produced before him after affording proper opportunity of hearing to all the concerned parties.

6. With the aforesaid directions, the writ petition is **disposed of**.

Order Date :- 1.11.2023
Vivek Kr.

Digitally signed by :-
VIVEK KUMAR
High Court of Judicature at Allahabad