

Court No. - 6

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 33523 of 2023

Petitioner :- Amrit Lal

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 3 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Dhiresk Kumar, Arvind Kumar Pandey

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Mrs. Manju Rani Chauhan, J.

Heard Mr. Dhiresk Kumar, learned counsel for the petitioner and learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.

The writ petition has been filed by the petitioner with the following prayer :-

"(A)- Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and direct the respondents authority especially respondent no.4 (Village Development Officer Devari, Post Khivali Kala Koraon, Prayagraj) to supply the certified copy of documents or records of work as mentioned in representation dated 19.06.2023 in terms of Rules 1999.

(B)- Issue a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus commanding and direct the respondent no.2 to consider and decide the representation of petitioner dated 19.06.2023 within the stipulated period of time. (Annexure no.3 to this Writ Petition).

(C)- Issue a writ in the nature of Mandamus commanding/directing the respondents to take appropriate action on the basis of representation dated 19.06.2023 made by the petitioner.

....."

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is a complainant who has filed complaint against the Gram Pradhan but no decision has been taken till date on the complaint filed by the petitioner.

Learned Standing Counsel submits that being complainant petitioner has no locus to file such petition, therefore, this petition is not maintainable. In support of his contention, he has relied upon the Full Bench judgment of this Court in the case of **Vivekanand Yadav vs. State of U.P. and another** reported in **2010(10) ADJ 1 (FB)**.

Accordingly, the petition is misconceived and is **dismissed**.

Order Date :- 28.10.2023

Kalp Nath Singh