

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 33773 of 2023

Petitioner :- Santosh Kumari

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 7 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- M.P. Srivastava, Ajay Kumar Srivastava

Counsel for Respondent :- CSC

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi, J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar, J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri S.C.Upadhyay, learned Standing Counsel for the State-respondent.
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner confined his prayer that the direction may be issued to the second respondent to decide the representation of the petitioner dated 4.9.2023 (Annexure no. 3 to the writ petition).
3. Learned Standing Counsel fairly states that in case the aforesaid representation is still pending, definitely, the same would be considered and decided expeditiously.
4. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the issue and considering the facts and circumstances of the case, the writ petition is ***disposed of*** with the direction to the second respondent to look into the grievance of the petitioner and decide the aforesaid representation within a period of three weeks from the date of production of a certified copy of this order but certainly after affording opportunity to all stakeholders in the matter.

Order Date :- 28.11.2023

A.K.Srivastava