

Court No. - 40

Case :- WRIT - C No. - 33830 of 2023

Petitioner :- Ashutosh Maheshwari

Respondent :- State Of U.P. And 9 Others

Counsel for Petitioner :- Amrendu Singh

Counsel for Respondent :- C.S.C.

Hon'ble Mahesh Chandra Tripathi,J.

Hon'ble Prashant Kumar,J.

1. Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and Sri Ambrish Shukla, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel for the State-respondents.
2. Present writ petition is preferred for direction in the nature of mandamus commanding the respondents no.2 and 3 to resolve the grievance of the petitioner as admitted in letter dated 13.12.2022 in a reasonable time.
3. Sri Ambrish Shukla, learned Additional Chief Standing Counsel raised an objection regarding maintainability of this writ petition on the ground that for the relief claimed the petitioner has a remedy to file a Suit for recovery against the private respondents.
3. Considering the facts and circumstances, no interference is required by this Court in the present writ petition as the matter relates to dispute between private parties. In fact, the appropriate remedy available to the petitioner is to approach the competent authority under common law.
4. The writ petition is, accordingly, dismissed.

Order Date :- 30.10.2023

S.P.