

Court No. - 9

Case :- CONTEMPT APPLICATION (CIVIL) No. - 6972 of 2023

Applicant :- Mamta Yadav And Another

Opposite Party :- Ashok Kumar, Secretary Public Service Commission

Counsel for Applicant :- Vishwambhar Nath

Counsel for Opposite Party :- Nisheeth Yadav

Hon'ble Rohit Ranjan Agarwal,J.

On 30.11.2023, following order was passed:-

"Sri Nisheeth Yadav, learned counsel for the opposite party has invited the attention of the Court to the paragraph nos.9,13,14 and 16 of the affidavit wherein it has been stated that an advertisement was made which includes 2069 vacancies of Female Staff Nurse and 171 Male Nurse.

On coming to know about the fact that 514 vacancies of the post of Female Staff Nurse, which was carry forwarded and the writ court on 26-04-2022 while granting interim order in Writ-A No. 1815 of 2022 (Priti Patel and another Vs. State of U.P. and 2 Others) had stayed the opposite party from making fresh advertisement in respect of 1729 vacancies has issued a corrigendum on 22-11-2023 withdrawing the said post. According to him the other vacancies which has been advertised was pursuant to the requisition sent to the Commission by the Director, Medical Education and Training. According to him the vacancies which have been advertised has nothing to do with the earlier vacancies which is under consideration before the writ court.

Learned counsel for the applicants prays for and is granted three days' time to file reply to the affidavit filed by the opposite party.

List this matter on 04-12-2023."

Today, when the case was taken up, learned counsel for the applicant states that he does not propose to file reply to compliance affidavit filed by opposite party. He further contends that order of writ Court has been complied with and nothing survives in the contempt application.

In view of statement so made by counsel for the applicant, the contempt application is rendered infructuous and the same stands dismissed.

Order Date :- 4.12.2023

V.S.Singh